

TOWN OF VIEW ROYAL PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT REPORT

TO: Committee of the Whole **DATE**: September 9, 2020

FROM: J. Chow, MCIP RPP MEETING DATE: September 15, 2020

FILE NO.: 3440-20-2020/01

3360-20-2020/04

OFFICIAL COMMUNITY PLAN AMENDMENT APPLICATION 2020/01 and REZONING APPLICATION 2020/04 – 298 Island Highway

RECOMMENDATION

Senior Planner

THAT the September 9, 2020 report from the Senior Planner titled "Official Community Plan Amendment Application 2020/01 and Rezoning Application 2020/04 – 298 Island Highway" be received for information;

AND THAT Council requires early and ongoing consultation of the Official Community Plan amendment;

AND FURTHER THAT notification to BC Hydro, BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, BC Transit, Canada Post, Capital Regional District, Esquimalt First Nation, FortisBC, School District No.61, Shaw Cable, Songhees First Nation, and Telus be made of the public hearing for the proposed Official Community Plan amendment bylaw.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S COMMENTS

I concur with the recommendation.

DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES' COMMENTS

I concur with the recommendation.

DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING'S COMMENTS

I concur with the recommendation.

DIRECTOR OF PROTECTIVE SERVICE'S COMMENTS

I concur with the recommendation.



PURPOSE OF REPORT

 To introduce Official Community Plan Amendment Application 2020/01 and Rezoning Application 2020/04 to redevelop the property at 298 Island Highway for commercial and residential use.

BACKGROUND

The 1853 m² subject property is zoned *C-1: Community Commercial* and is located at the east corner of the Island Highway / Helmcken Road intersection. A single storey restaurant building with surface parking has occupied the lot since the early 1980s. Surrounding land uses include:

- To the north across Helmcken Road: George's Corner Park and a detached residential neighbourhood.
- To the east, detached residential properties on Eltham Road (zoned C-1 Community Commercial) and the Lions Cove apartment building.
- To the south across the street: View Royal Square, a two and a half storey office/retail commercial building.
- To the west, across the intersection: Commercial uses including a former motel and the Salvation Army retail store.

A site profile has been submitted to the Province Contaminated Sites Branch noting the presence of an underground tank. The applicant's environmental consultant is conducting a site investigation to support a release that would allow the development proposal to proceed through bylaw consideration.

PROJECT INFORMATION

The applications for this property are as follows:

1. Official Community Plan amendment to change the land use designation from Neighbourhood Mixed Use (1.5:1 Floor Space Ratio) to a new Comprehensive Development Area that would permit commercial and residential use at 3.0:1 FSR.

Application	From	То
OCP	Neighbourhood Mixed Use	Comprehensive Development Area
	Commercial/Residential	Commercial/Residential
	(1.5:1 Floor Space Ratio)	(3.0:1 Floor Space Ratio)
Rezoning	C-1: Community Commercial	New commercial and residential zone

 Rezoning from C-1: Community Commercial to a new zone permitting commercial and residential use. The rezoning application addresses zoning issues of land use, density, and standards for lot coverage, percentage of impervious surface, the height and siting of buildings in general.

The following attachments to this report provide more information on the proposal:

- 1. Subject Property Map
- 2. Subject Property Orthophoto
- 3. Letter from Applicant
- 4. Open House letters
- 5. Design Rationale
- 6. Architectural Drawings
- 7. Landscape Plan
- 8. Traffic Impact Assessment
- 9. Water, Storm and Sanitary Review

Development Concept

The development concept (Attachments 5-7) is for a six-storey building with the upper two storeys set back as shown in Figures 1-3. The ground floor would be 560m² commercial use with 54 apartment units on the five upper floors. Two levels of underground parking are proposed.





Figure 1. Perspectives from Island Highway



Figure 2. Island Highway frontage (proposed boulevard trees not shown)



Figure 3. Helmcken Road frontage (proposed boulevard trees not shown)

The average depth of the boulevard along Island Highway is over 6m, which would allow the creation of a pedestrian plaza as shown in Figures 4 and 5.

There are two small trees on the property and bushes along shared property lines. The landscape plan (Attachment 7) proposes more trees to screen adjacent Eltham Road properties.





Figure 4. Plaza rendering




Figure 5. Site Plan

Site Data Summary

Lot Size	1853m ²
Floor Area	5559 m ²
Floor Space Ratio	3.0:1
Units/Hectare	292
Building Height	20.3m
Storeys	6
Site Coverage	75%
Units Proposed	54
Vehicle Parking	104 (102 required)
Secure Bicycle Parking	62 (56 required)

Report to Committee of the Whole OCP 2020/01 & Rezoning 2020/04 – 298 Island Highway Meeting Date: September 15, 2020

Unit Mix

The proposed residential unit mix is as follows:

- 16 one-bedroom units
- 37 two-bedroom units
- 1 three-bedroom unit

Building Height

The building is proposed to be 20.3m and six storeys as measured from the base of the building. The final heights from (calculated) average grade would be finalized at time of Development Permit.

Official Community Plan Context

The subject property is within the Helmcken-Harbour Community Corridor which separates the Helmcken and Harbor Neighbourhoods. The proposal is consistent with OCP policy LU9.1 (Attachment 10) for the specific corridor as follows:

- 1. Mixed-use intensification and public space improvement would be concentrated at the Helmcken Intersection.
- 2. The applicant's concept for a neighbourhood grocery/deli is consistent with the policy that commercial uses should be neighbourhood-serving and limited to properties located near the Helmcken Intersection.
- 3. Right-of-way conditions and pedestrian safety, including connections across the Island Highway and Helmcken Road.
- 4. The proposal would provide a high-quality built environment. The building and pedestrian plaza would become a landmark for this neighbourhood centre.
- Strong and safe connections would be provided to surrounding residential areas, the waterfront, Helmcken Road, View Royal Elementary School and the planned Town Centre.
- 6. Higher residential densities fronting the Island Highway are supported.
- 7. The proposed commercial use would complement and not compete with the future Town Centre and would not inhibit eventual development of the Town Centre desired for the Fort Victoria site.

The policy also states consideration should be made on whether the mixed-use intensity would be appropriate for the corridor's lots sizes and adjacent residential areas. The proposal may seem dense relative to lot area as shown in following table, but the massing is terraced building form. The impact on the proposed number of units and not significant.

Development	Address	Units	Lot Area	Units per hectare
Current proposal	298 Island Highway	54	0.1853 ha	291.4
Lions Cove	288/290 Island Highway	66	0.8275 ha	80.0
Maia London	280 Island Highway	37	0.1677 ha	220.6
<dp approved,="" built="" not=""></dp>	242-244 Island Highway	37	0.1860 Ha	198.9

The current land use designation is Neighbourhood Mixed Use, which supports commercial uses with townhouses and apartments up to four storeys with a maximum Floor Space Ratio of 1.5:1. A new Comprehensive Development Area land use designation would be created for the development concept with a maximum FSR of 3.0:1. If Council considers the development proposal to be too dense, the existing Intensive Mixed Use land use designation could be an

Report to Committee of the Whole OCP 2020/01 & Rezoning 2020/04 – 298 Island Highway Meeting Date: September 15, 2020

alternative that permits commercial and residential uses with a maximum FSR of 2.5:1. The proposal would need to be amended to meet the density limits of this land use designation.

The *Local Government Act* has requirements for early and ongoing consultation of Official Community Plan amendments. In this case Council should give consideration to whether or not early and ongoing consultation is needed given that this is a site specific proposal. The application was referred to the relevant agencies as part of the application review process with no major concerns noted. It is recommended if the application moves forward to a public hearing, that the agencies be formally notified of the amendment bylaw in conjunction with the public hearing process.

Proposed Zone

Rezoning of this site is supportable, subject to a successful Official Community Plan amendment. It complies with the OCP policies encouraging the growth of the Helmcken-Harbour Community Corridor. If the development concept is supported, staff would prepare a new site-specific commercial/residential zone that would be consistent with the proposed OCP land use designation and would accommodate the density, building height and siting of the proposal.

Design comment

While the form and character of the development would be addressed at the development permit stage, the application provides a level of detail in the development concept that illustrates their intent, Council will formally review the form and character of the project when considering the Development Permit.

Stepping back the upper two floors of the building will reduce massing and provide a human scale at the street level. The terraced and articulated facade is a complementary interface to the adjacent Lion Cove Apartment and the taller building at a lower starting grade will provide a consistent skyline. A line of trees is proposed to provide some screening for adjacent residential properties on Eltham Road that have Neighbourhood Mixed Use OCP land use designations and are zoned commercial. The proposed public plaza in and along the Island Highway frontage would create a vibrant pedestrian-oriented street realm.

Community Amenity Contribution

The Community Amenity Contribution Policy target rate is \$3,500 per multifamily residential unit to be provided prior to building permit issuance. For this proposal, the contribution would be \$189,000 based on 54 units. It is recommended that the community amenity contribution provision rate be secured in a covenant.

OCP Policy HS1.4 Housing Amenity Contributions also supports a housing amenity contribution to the Town, which could be directed to the CRD Regional Housing Trust Fund. A portion of the cash community amenity contributions could be used for this purpose.

An eastbound transit stop across the street (Island Highway at Helmcken Road Stop ID 101050) provides service on the high volume 14 UVic and 46 Dockyard routes. The current shelter is considered suitable for the current volume of ridership (weekday average of 116 boardings and 28 alightings). A T-4 shelter (i.e. high volume) is required when boarding exceeds 400 per average weekday. Upgrading this shelter using CAC funds would be a benefit for neighbourhood transit users.

Transportation Impact

There would be a right-in/right-out vehicle access on each frontage. The Engineering Department comments on the Traffic Impact Assessment (Attachment 8) are as follows:

- The study examined the Town's 2017 traffic counts and conducted another count in June 2020. The more recent counts may have been influenced by summer, the COVID-19 pandemic, and the construction of the Trans Canada Highway / McKenzie interchange and may be atypical.
- The Town has designed and created a future plan for the redevelopment of Island Highway from Helmcken to Beaumont. It does not appear that the development has recognized this future design in their reconfiguration of the intersection. The Traffic Impact Assessment proposes reconfiguration of lanes on Island Highway through the Helmcken Road intersection to move more vehicles through. More detail is needed on how it would be achieved and the impacts on existing bike lanes and sidewalks. The suggested alteration of adding a merge lane west of the intersection would appear problematic and commentary is needed on how this impacts traffic flow.
- Analysis should be provided on the consequences of restricting left turn movements and commercial truck access in/out of the site
- Information on bicycle parking, or Transportation Demand Management initiatives should be provided.



Figure 6. Proposed lane modifications

BC Transit indicates that the proposed land uses are consistent with the Frequent Transit Network (FTN) corridor and supports continued redevelopment on Island Highway because it would grow ridership and in turn, be supported with increased service levels.

Report to Committee of the Whole OCP 2020/01 & Rezoning 2020/04 – 298 Island Highway Meeting Date: September 15, 2020

There is a two-seat transit shelter (see Figure 3) at the stop along the Helmcken Road frontage (northbound Helmcken Road at Island Highway Stop ID 101051) for the 14 Vic General route. BC Transit supports an upgrade to a T-3 type (i.e. moderate volume) shelter at this stop as the development can be expected to produce an increase in ridership at this stop.

Site Servicing

The requirements of *Subdivision and Development Servicing Bylaw No. 985, 2017* include provision of sidewalks along the site frontages, no net increase in post-development site runoff, and that storm water entering the Town's drainage system will meet the requirements of Storm Water Regulation Bylaw No. 902, 2015. The Servicing Concept (Attachment 9) estimates the expected sewer flow from the proposed development, but pump station capacity will need to be confirmed.

Public Engagement

The applicant canvassed adjacent properties and conducted an open house with area residents in May. Comment letters are provided in Attachment 4.

1.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the September 9, 2020 report from the Senior Planner titled "Official Community Plan Amendment Application 2020/01 and Rezoning Application 2020/04 – 298 Island Highway" be received for information;

AND THAT Council requires early and ongoing consultation of the Official Community Plan amendment;

AND FURTHER THAT notification to BC Hydro, BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, BC Transit, Canada Post, Capital Regional District, Esquimalt First Nation, FortisBC, School District No.61, Shaw Cable, Songhees First Nation, and Telus be made of the public hearing for the proposed Official Community Plan amendment bylaw.

SUBMITTED BY:

J. Chow MC4P RPP, Community Planner

REVIEWED BY:

L. Chase MCIP RPP. Director of Development Services

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Subject Property Map
- 2. Subject Property Orthophoto

Report to Committee of the Whole OCP 2020/01 & Rezoning 2020/04 – 298 Island Highway Meeting Date: September 15, 2020

- 3. Letter from Applicant, May 27, 2020 (13 pages)
- 4. Open House Letters (22 pages)
- 5. Design Rationale Ankenman Marchand Architects, (3 pages)
- 6. Architectural Drawings Ankenman Marchand Architects, June 17-Aug. 31, 2020 (35 pages)
- 7. Landscape Plan Lombard North Group, June 12, 2020
- 8. Traffic Impact Assessment Addoz Engineering Inc. July 9, 2020 (36 pages)
- 9. Water, Storm and Sanitary Review JE Anderson, August 27, 2020 (20 pages)
- 10. OCP excerpt: Policy LU9.1 Helmcken-Harbour Community Corridor

Attachment 1. Location Map



Attachment 2. Orthophoto



ANKENMAN MARCHAND

S

I

~

⋖

May 27th, 2020

The Town of View Royal

45 View Royal Ave, View Royal, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor & Council

Dear View Royal Mayor and Council:

Re: Potential Redevelopment/Rezoning - Corner Old Island Highway & Helmcken – View Royal – Current Namaste Restaurant Location

As you may recall I have reached out to you on a few separate occasions to offer the opportunity to review our schematic design and preliminary ideas our firm has created for the above-named project with the intent of receiving initial feedback from Council on our proposal prior to submitting an application to ensure that all questions can be answered and any concerns addressed prior to the application.

In last week's discussions with Mayor Screech it was determined that in lieu of current health issues and the lack of ability to appear before Council as a delegation, that I write this summation letter regarding our proposal as the best way to communicate with you that will then be placed on the Agenda for the next Council meeting with the intent that comments be forwarded to me directly following the meeting. Please note these ideas and associated drawing package are quite preliminary in nature allowing us all the opportunity to "Workshop" this proposal to ensure we take full advantage of the site's potential. The following is the project summary complete of where we currently stand along with associated images:

The Site:



Ankenman Marchand Architects Timothy Ankenman, Architect AIBC, MRAIC - Principal François Marchand, Architect AIBC - Principal 1645 West 5th Avenue, Vancouver, BC, V6J 1N5 Tel: (604) 872-2595 FAX: (604) 872-2505 EMAIL: <u>tim@amarchitects.com</u> This highly visible corner site provides a tremendous opportunity to create a landmark, gateway building that, if designed correctly, will set an outstanding precedent for future development along this corridor. To that end, as mentioned, should the Community and Council support this project (in whatever form it ends up being), as long as it's financially viable, my Client intends on purchasing other sites along this corridor, allowing everyone to initiate a larger urban design exercise that will optimally provide positive change to the View Royal streetscape and community.

Uses:

Consistent with View Royal's OCP and to ensure activation of the pedestrian streetscape we are proposing a mixed-use development with commercial use at grade, with a variety of multiple unit residential uses above.

Site Planning:


As you will note on the Site Plan below, we are very intentionally providing "First Principle Urban Design: considerations listed as follows:

1. Hide all parked vehicles from the main two streets/arterials:

We have intentionally split the two parking uses – the parking for commercial use has been placed <u>behind</u> the building fronting onto Old Island Highway yet it has been designed as convenient parking for the commercial users providing direct access from their vehicles into the main commercial use. This is proposed to be accessed via a convenient <u>right-in right out</u> access for those heading west along the highway.

You will also note on the site plan above that we have introduced a large landscaped buffer between the surface parking and the 3 properties fronting onto Eltham Road c/w a high hedge and large trees to ensure adequate buffering between our proposal and the rear of the future townhouses proposed for those three sites once consolidated.

All residential parking, for security purposes is proposed as being housed in a secured underground parkade accessed along the more benign street, Helmcken.



2. Activate streetscape for pedestrian vs. vehicular uses:

As you will note, by placing the commercial convenience parking behind the building where it is not visible, a tremendous streetscape opportunity emerges as ample room is now allocated to a very large, activated public gathering plaza in front of the commercial use. We envision this space to become very well used, as long as an active retailer is selected for the commercial use. We envisage (as per the renderings below), opportunities for this space to become a meeting place for friends and family, spillover areas for the retail use (ie, outdoor seating, benches and a place to simply relax after having for example walked from your home to this gathering area. Naturally, post-Covid it will be designed as smaller, outdoor rooms to ensure no large gathering can take place in this otherwise vast public offering.

Commercial Use:

In selecting a commercial user, we wanted to seek out a tenant that provides a convenience offering for both the residents for the project, as well as the community at large, and further, a tenant that would assist in aiding towards the active and colourful streetscape activities described above. To that end, our Client has been in discussions with Red Barn Market who have expressed a strong interest in this location and the size of the retail component being offered. If we are successful in soliciting a small boutique grocery retailer to this site, we believe it will provide all the active streetscape opportunities that are envisaged for this important site as reflected in the following renderings, and will include outdoor colourful fruit and vegetable displays, overhead doors allowing the transition between the store and sidewalk/plaza to become seamless, a coffee bar with outdoor seating, outdoor flower displays, outdoor seating and the like:








Residential Uses:

In order to designate ground floor of the Old Island Highway frontage as purely pedestrianactivated, we have located the main residential entry adjacent the residential parkade entry along the west side of the building on Helmcken where the pedestrian activity will be much more benign. Again, we are proposing a very wide variety of units ranging from one-bedroom units for first time purchasers and investors for rental to 3-bedroom family units. This wide variety is intended to appeal to all socio-economic demographics as possible including renters, first time purchaser's young families, empty-nesters, seniors and the disabled.



Proposed Second Floor Plan



Proposed Third Floor Plan



Proposed Fourth Floor Plan



Proposed Fifth Floor Plan



Proposed Sixth Floor Plan

Common Amenities:

While the "standard and typical" strata meeting room has been conveniently placed on the ground flor adjacent the building's entry, a large area on the roof of the building has been allocated for other common amenity uses (to be defined) but is not limited to an exercise studio, yoga room, common work place/offices, etc. It is also located on the roof to provide direct access to large outdoor patio and urban agricultural gardening plots for the residents, as the views from this terrace will be outstanding and will capture water views as well as maximizing sun exposure for growing medium for the proposed resident gardening plots.

And, of course, ample bicycle storage, EV charging stations and the like are implemented into the parkade design and ample room has been reserved for other amenities desired from future residents when our marketing team are able to solicit feedback from end-users such as a workshop, kayak storage (similar to the Glen now under construction), common office work spaces etc. We always make an attempt to include common work spaces as we have learned (like communal veggie plots) this provides a tremendous opportunity to bring a community together to share ideas, increases affordability (those that typically need to purchase an additional bedroom or den for their workspace no longer need to do so), and of course less reliance on the automobile (or given this site's immediate proximity to public transit many users may not require an automobile) but regardless providing this use on site precludes many from having to drive to work on a daily basis!





Partial Sixth Floor Plan (Common Amenity)

Massing & Height:

As you will note in the following renderings, the massing has been very intentionally designed so the building in its entirety reads as 3 stories of residential around the building's commercial floor with the top two floors of residential set far back from the main façade:





Upper Floor only visible from across Highway

The only exception to this guiding principle is the corner element, which we believe is appropriate given the site's gateway location and can be supplemented with signage that may read "Welcome to View Royal" if desired by Council.




This form of terracing also ensures no overlooking, privacy nor overshadowing will occur between the proposal and the adjacent multiple-unit residential project named "Lion's Cove" which is also well set back from the common property line and has a significant existing tree buffer separating the two buildings.



Overshadowing:

As seen in the shadow diagrams below, no spilling of shadows shall occur onto the Lion's Cove neighbouring property – in fact, that project spills shadows onto the subject site:



Community Consultation:

As noted in my previous correspondence with Council, in lieu of our historic ability to host Public Open Houses, my Client's Team have initiated an ongoing door-knocking campaign c/w our proposal in-hand in an effort to solicit feedback from View Royal residents, including our immediate neighbours. It is our intention once this neighbourhood survey is complete to provide all associated correspondence with our rezoning application, but in order to allow you a preliminary viewing of responses so far, I provide you with the following sampling as follows:

View Royal To	own Hall				
45 View Royal Victoria, BC V	Ave, 9B 1 4 6				
Tribina De V	D IAU				
Attention:	Mayor and Council				
Re:	298 Island Highway, V	Victoria. B.C.			
120-1					
Dear Worship	k Council:				
•					
by Ankenman l	you to express my full sup	pport for the developm	nent plans that have	been prepared	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	The country of the co				
I appreciate all	the decion work that has a		1.11. 04. 1.14	4 11	
I appreciate all for signage, the	the design work that has g	gone into the fronts and	d sides of the building	ng- the ideas	
for signage, the	custom canopies that will	provide protection from	om the rain, and the	plaza in	
for signage, the	the design work that has g custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f	provide protection from	om the rain, and the	plaza in	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack!	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack!	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee port as this	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee port as this	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee port as this	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee port as this	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee port as this	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all f ion is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee port as this	
for signage, the front! Brilliant! and a snack! This in my opin project moves a Sincerely	custom canopies that will It seems that we will all fainn is exactly what our to head.	I provide protection fr finally have a place to	om the rain, and the go and gather outsid	plaza in le for coffee port as this	

May 21st, 2020

View Royal Mayor and Council 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Re: Corner Old Island Highway & Helmcken, View Royal

I have been approached by a member of the Development Team that are responsible for the above project and have reviewed the plans that have been prepared for this location where the existing Namaste Indian Restaurant is currently located. They have asked for my opinion on their proposal which I am happy to share.

I believe this is precisely the type of development that View Royal needs: it is a very high quality design, it offers a wide variety of much needed housing in our town, and is located on major road where this type of development is appropriate.

Please support this project as it moves forward as this is a project that our entire town will be very proud of:!!

Adam Pedersen

President Governors Point Strata View Royal, BC

298 Island Hwy, Victoria > Inbox x

Margie and Derek <margieandderek@shaw.ca>

to tim 🕶

Hi Timothy, I am a resident at 290 Island Hwy and was very excited to hear about your proposal for the site now occupied by the Indian Restaurant.

I'm not sure if you know that the adjacent property at 250 Helmken is now for sale. If you are interested in this one as well for your project the Realtor is:

Linda Brown (Remax)

250-213-7194

Looking forward to the start up

Margie Hayes-Holgate

Again Covid also precluded our ability to host a private Open House for the residents of the adjacent Lion's Cove project that we had previously organized and since then offered their strata and residents a virtual open house to which we received no response until a few days ago. We have now though managed to coordinate an Open House with those Residents for this evening and the Strata Presiden sent me a copy of the notification that was sent to all residents.

Hello everyone,

This Wednesday (27th) there will be a presentation by the architects

Ankenman Marchand

on the proposed development
On the Namaste restaurant site.
It will be held in the Dogwood room
And will begin at 6.30pm.
Due to covid restrictions we can
only accommodate 18 people from
Lions Cove so please only one
person per unit to attend.

There should be information packs available for those who can't or don't feel comfortable being in a group at the moment, priority may be given to west wing residents

Naturally, we will notify Council as part of our Community Consultation package the results of that meeting.

Summary:

We believe that consistent with the glowing feedback we have received from the View Royal Community we have received thus far as well as View Royal's Planning Staff that caliber of the project being proposed and the benefits it will bring to the community will become a project that we can all be proud of and will raise the bar for future development along the Old Island Corridor. We do however believe that it is those members of the community including Council who live in View Royal that have a far better "pulse" on what's needed and will be deemed appropriate hence our efforts in reaching out to everyone pre-application to assist us in ensuring that this project can be the best it can possibly be and will meet the needs of the community as much as possible. We look very forward to your feedback and thank you so much in advance for your efforts in reviewing this project.

Yours truly,

ANKENMAN MARCHAND Architects

Per:

Timothy Ankenman

Architect AIBC | MRAIC | M. Arch. | AIA| Founding Principal

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

May 27th, 2020

S

OLD ISLAND HIGHWAY & HELMCKEN PROPOSAL EXIT SURVEY – LION'S COVE RESIDENTS

		PROPOSAL
ш	E	XIT SURVEY - LION'S COVE RESIDENTS
-	V	I HAVE REVIEWED THE PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL FOR THIS SITE PREPARED BY ANKENMAN MARCHAND ARCHITECTS AND AM IN GENERAL SUPPORT OF THIS PROJECT
۷ ۲	V	I HAVE REVIEWED THE PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL FOR THIS SITE PREPARED BY ANKENMAN MARCHAND ARCHITECTS AND AM IN GENERAL SUPPORT OF THIS PROJECT BUT WOULD LIKE THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERED AS THE PROJECT MOVES FORWARD:
		there needs to be sufficient guest parking for the strata section, at least 6 stalls
		I HAVE REVIEWED THE PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL FOR THIS SITE PREPARED BY ANKENMAN MARCHAND ARCHITECTS AND AM NOT IN SUPPORT OF THIS PROJECT FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:
		S. WAGNER 305-290 ISLAND HULY (LIONS COVE)

Signed (optional)

Ankenman Marchand Architects Timothy Ankenman, Architect AIBC, MRAIC - Principal François Marchand, Architect AIBC - Principal 1645 West 5th Avenue, Vancouver, BC, V6J 1N5 Tel: (604) 872-2595 FAX: (604) 872-2505 EMAIL: <u>tim@amarchitects.com</u>

Byrac: amgamaromee

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

May 27th, 2020

S

ш

OLD ISLAND HIGHWAY & HELMCKEN PROPOSAL EXIT SURVEY – LION'S COVE RESIDENTS

I HAVE REVIEWED THE PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL FOR THIS SITE PREPARED BY ANKENMAN MARCHAND ARCHITECTS AND AM IN GENERAL SUPPORT OF THIS PROPOSAL FOR THIS SITE PREPARED BY ANKENMAN MARCHAND ARCHITECTS AND AM IN GENERAL SUPPORT OF THIS PROJECT BUT WOULD LIKE THE FOLLOWING CONSIDERED AS THE PROJECT MOVES FORWARD:

I HAVE REVIEWED THE PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL FOR THIS SITE PREPARED BY ANKENMAN MARCHAND ARCHITECTS AND AM NOT IN SUPPORT OF THIS PROJECT FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

Charles La Vertu 104-290 Island Highway, Victoria, BC V9B1G5

Signed (optional)

Ankenman Marchand Architects Timothy Ankenman, Architect AIBC, MRAIC - Principal François Marchand, Architect AIBC - Principal 1645 West 5th Avenue, Vancouver, BC, V6J 1N5 Tel: (604) 872-2595 FAX: (604) 872-2505

EMAIL: tim@amarchitects.com

From: Timothy Ankenman <timothy@amarchitects.com>

Subject: Old Island

Date: May 29, 2020 at 12:38:38 PM PDT

To:

Cc: JEFFREY SENGARA < >, Dimitar Bojadziev <dimitar@amarchitects.com>,

Misha Sydorenko <misha@amarchitects.com>

Hi, Kitty!!! Thank you for reaching out! I was thrilled with the outcome of the meeting and was so very happy to feel the excitement around the room for what we're proposing. As mentioned in the meeting successful development needs to be a win-win for all stakeholders and early workshops are the best way to communicate to gauge support and had I heard any concerns or complaints, it would have been clearly an appropriate time for us to retool, and represent but honestly - having a standing ovation at the end of our Workshop from our immediate neighbours was both humbling and exciting!!

I will also address your points as follows:

The presentation and information last night at Lions cove was great and easy to understand and totally in favour of this improvement to our area Again fantastic and SO exciting! In the height of my excitement though I neglected to leave exit surveys which I dropped off yesterday to the Strata President Chris Perry and I am hoping that some or all of you can fill in as it will be important as we move forward to allow Council the ability to understand the support we have gained for this project. As noted in our meeting, in all of our door-knocking and outreach program we have come across not one person that has any issues with what we're proposing which in my line of work is very unusual (albeit highly refreshing!!). Perhaps I've learned a thing or two over my 40 years in this profession? Ha ha

A dog wash and maybe outlet for scooped poop to exit thru sewer system would be very modern and desirable Yes, consistent with our post-meeting chat we will ensure that these dog-waste disposal bins are placed in various areas around the building, including the plaza where it could become more of an issue for non-residents visiting the market and spending time at the gathering areas designed for the public plaza - .I have cc'd my Project Manager here to ensure these are included and will form part of our application package and will be coordinated into our landscape architect's work.

I don't own and dog but am vehemently opposed to dog droppings in plastic bags tossed all over the world as am I but at least sightly better than 10 years ago when no-one even picked up (ha ha).

Keep well and keep up the progressive work Thank you! I extend the same wishes to you, your family and all the lovely people living in Lion's Cove!

PS in your plans to you included locked cages for bikes? Sure wish our council would change our cable lock area as have had 3 bikes stolen in the past few years and they are never the dusty flat tired bikes (we have e bikes we use almost exclusively and sure do envy good lockup's) As noted in our presentation, some people are spending more money on bikes now than then they spend on their cars, and ever soince the chordless riciprocating saws were invented the problem has become far worse. What we do (and what we're doing up on the "Glen" for example), is instead of leaving a large number of bikes parked in one room leaving them vulnerable to theft, we instead create smaller rooms that are monitored and they are built with concrete block, steel doors, steel strike plates and the like and (finger's crossed) have had no issues with those bike rooms that we have built in that manner. Cages as you suggest unfortunately can be cut through and further, thieves can see what's behind them, so we do go far above and beyond. If residents don't feel comfortble that their \$5-\$10,000 bike investment is secured they of course drag it (often wet and muddy) through the lobby, up the elevator and down the corridor to their suites and lock it onto their balcony guard rail all of which cause major issues including overall building asthetics so we do take bike lockers very seriously.

Should you have any further questions or concerns please do not hesitate to reach out at this email address which comes to me directly vs. our general mailbox at my Vancouver offices.!!!!

Kitty Chavarie

Timothy Ankenman Architect | AIBC | MRAIC | M.Arch. | AIA | Founding Principal







Re: Namaste Developement corner of Island Highway and Helmcken, View Royal, BC Exit survey Shumey

1 message

Timothy Ankenman <timothy@amarchitects.com>

Sat, May 30, 2020 at 9:36 AM

To: Bea Shumey

Cc: JEFFREY SENGARA

, Dimitar Bojadziev <dimitar@amarchitects.com>

Hi Bea and thank you for reaching out!! I am sorry that you were unable to make the presentation the other night but happy we can communicate electronically!

I will try to address your comments as follows:

Although I was unable to attend the meeting at Lion's Cove and was unaware of the virtual meeting, I have read through your presentation and have filled out the exit survey as requested. Thank you!!! Overall the proposal looks amazing with striking architecture. I greatly appreciate that! I only worry about the impact on traffic as it is a very busy corner with a bus stop just by the property. Traffic is raised as a concern on virtually every project we do and I can't at this time provide any proper answers for you at this time. We study traffic through science meaning we hire a traffic consultant / engineer who surveys how many vehicles currently use both the highway and Helmcken, and at what times of the day peak traffic flows are the highest, how the bus stop operates and whether it's in the ideal location, how much new traffic is being generated from both the commercial and residential components, locations of our proposed crossings, what we have done to minimize traffic counts (ie, shared work opportunities on-site, shared vehicle parking, alternate forms of transportation, who will be living there (for example retirees vs a couple n their 30s working downtown) etc. So as we wanted to reach out to the community before we locked our plans, spent money on various consultants (including traffic) and formalized an application it has been our goal despite Covid to reach out and see if we're on the right track. Once we have a traffic report finalized, depending on the results of that report, changes may be required. These may include moving crossings, including more shared vehicles, moving the bus stop etc. Once we have the traffic study complete and we have made the necessary revisions (if any) we will of course circulate that report and any associated changes, but at this time it's a bit of a cart in front of the horse, but please understand that your concerns are noted and we will definitely address them as we The thought of cars turning into the development to access the parkade, and bus stopping while I am trying to exit Eltham turning left is of concern, especially during peak periods. I completely understand the potential conflict, and while the current restaurant crossing location is also near this conflict we fully recognize it does not create as much conflict due to hours of operation and reduced vehicle counts currently using that crossing, so again this will be thoroughly studied as we move forward. I simply don't have the answers for you right now. I have attached the survey and shared the link. Again fantastic and thank you so much. Enjoy your weekend!!! Thank you for taking the time to be so inclusive. It was truly a pleasure!! Cheers

Timothy Ankenman Architect | AIBC | MRAIC | M.Arch. | AIA | Founding Principal

MAA_STACKED_SMALL-01

1645 W 5 Avenue, Vancouver B.C.

Canada V6J 1N5

604.872.2595 (28)

timothy@amarchitects.com

www.amarchitects.com

PLEASE NOTE!! Due to the Corona Virus outbreak, our Team at AMA is working remotely during these trying times. Please call Timothy Ankenman on his cell phone @ (604) 506-9689, not the office number above until further notice.



This email (and any attachments) are believed to be free from viruses or other defects. It is, however, the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that this information is virus-free. No responsibility is accepted by Ankenman Marchand Architects for any loss or damage arising in any way from receipt or use thereof. This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is intended solely for the exclusive use of the addressee. Please advise Ankenman Marchand Architects immediately if you are not the intended addressee. Distribution, copying, use or disclosure of its contents to any other person is prohibited without prior consent.

Please consider the environment before printing this email

On Fri, May 29, 2020 at 1:12 PM Bea Shumey <

> wrote:

Hi There

Although I was unable to attend the meeting at Lion's Cove and was unaware of the virtual meeting, I have read through your presentation and have filled out the exit survey as requested. Overall the proposal looks amazing with striking architecture. I only worry about the impact on traffic as it is a very busy corner with a bus stop just by the property. The thought of cars turning into the development to access the parkade, and bus stopping while I am trying to exit Eltham turning left is of concern especially during peak periods. I have attached the survey and shared the link. Thank you for taking the time to be so inclusive.

Cheers

Bea Shumey

103-290 Island Highway (Lion's Cove)

2 attachments



image002.jpg 3K

ANKENMAN MARCHAND A R C H I T E C T S

image001.jpg





Fwd: letter of support from 1

1 message

Timothy Ankenman <timothy@amarchitects.com>
To: Dimitar Bojadziev <dimitar@amarchitects.com>

Wed, Jun 10, 2020 at 11:28 AM

Timothy Ankenman Architect | AIBC | MRAIC | M.Arch. | AIA | Founding Principal

AMA_STACKED_SMALL-01

1645 W 5 Avenue, Vancouver B.C.

Canada V6J 1N5

604.872.2595 (28)

timothy@amarchitects.com

www.amarchitects.com

PLEASE NOTE!! Due to the Corona Virus outbreak, our Team at AMA is working remotely during these trying times. Please call Timothy Ankenman on his cell phone @ (604) 506-9689, not the office number above until further notice.



This email (and any attachments) are believed to be free from viruses or other defects. It is, however, the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that this information is virus-free. No responsibility is accepted by Ankenman Marchand Architects for any loss or damage arising in any way from receipt or use thereof. This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is intended solely for the exclusive use of the addressee. Please advise Ankenman Marchand Architects immediately if you are not the intended addressee. Distribution, copying, use or disclosure of its contents to any other person is prohibited without prior consent.

Please consider the environment before printing this email

----- Forwarded message --From: Jeffrey Sengara <

Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 10:07 PM Subject: letter of support from 1

To: Timothy Ankenman <timothy@amarchitects.com>

Letter of support from Lions Cove

Forwarded message -----

From: S.Wagner <

Date: Sun., May 31, 2020, 9:17 a.m. Subject: support for view royal project

To: <tim@amarchitects.com>

Hi Tim, I was in the peanut gallery the other night when you presented to the Lions Cove owners. It was a pleasure to meet you and the project developers.

You have my full support, see attached letter, for View Royal Council.

My only concern is having sufficient guest parking stalls, so as to have minimal impact on the neighbourhood.

Depending on the operation hours of the retail section, their stalls could be used for evening strata guest stalls.

I'm happy to provide strata training to the strata council once they are formed, looking ahead.

Sandy Wagner


Strata Treasurer - Lions Cove Past President - Vancouver Island Strata Owners Association

3 attachments



image002.jpg





May 18nd, 2020 View Royal Mayor and Council 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Re: Corner Old Island Highway & Eamp; Helmcken, View Royal

I was recently approached by the Lewis Ratcliff, who is a member of the Development & Sales team responsible for the above project. After careful review of the plans for the corner of Helmcken & Old Island Highway, I wanted to share my thoughts.

This location could not be a better suited for a condo building and, in my opinion, is exactly the type of development that View Royal needs! I believe that View Royal is in desperate need of a 'rebranding' and needs a downtown hub of some kind. View Royal is overrun with industrial & commercial properties and without a real heart of the city that its residents can be proud of. I believe this building will be seen as the hub to our town and the design response to this site is amazing. It offers a big gathering plaza along the entire length of the building, all parking is hidden away from the roads, and having the ground floor use as commercial will allow many surrounding residents to walk to this location to pick up their groceries or other items that may be sold.

Please support this project as it moves forward. View Royal is in desperate need!

Name

Date

May 19th, 2020 View Royal Town Hall 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor and Council

Re: 298 Island Highway, Victoria. B.C.

Dear Council:

I am writing to you to express my full support for the development plans that have been prepared by Ankenman Marchand Architects for the corner of Helmcken & Old Island Hwy.

I must to say this development looks amazing and is just what View Royal needs. The aesthetic look of the building is beautiful and the way the building looks like a 4 story building, with the top two floors setback, is stunning. The Developers attention to detail is clear and the use of wood elements around the base of the building and around its façade that gives it a true West Coast & View Royal look. I also really appreciate all the design work that has gone into the fronts and sides of the building-the ideas for signage, the custom canopies that will provide protection from the rain, and the plaza in front!

This could be an amazing meeting place and create a downtown core for us in View Royal. This is exactly what our town needs and I therefore offer my full support as this project moves ahead.

Thank you for listening!!

CAYLE RATCLIFE
Name
MAY 19, 2020
Date

May 19th, 2020 View Royal Town Hall 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor and Council

Re: 298 Island Highway, Victoria. B.C.

Dear Council:

I am writing to you to express my full support for the development plans that have been prepared by Ankenman Marchand Architects for the corner of Helmcken & Old Island Hwy.

I must to say this development looks amazing and is just what View Royal needs. The aesthetic look of the building is beautiful and the way the building looks like a 4 story building, with the top two floors setback, is stunning. The Developers attention to detail is clear and the use of wood elements around the base of the building and around its façade that gives it a true West Coast & View Royal look. I also really appreciate all the design work that has gone into the fronts and sides of the building-the ideas for signage, the custom canopies that will provide protection from the rain, and the plaza in front!

This could be an amazing meeting place and create a downtown core for us in View Royal. This is exactly what our town needs and I therefore offer my full support as this project moves ahead.

Thank you for listening!!

Name

Date

May 18th, 2020 The Town of View Royal 45 View Royal Ave, View Royal, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor Doug Screech, Councillors Damian Kowalewich, Gery Lemon, Ron Mattson & amp; John Rogers

Regarding Existing Indian Restaurant - Corner Island Highway and Helmcken:

Our Mayor & Council:

I have been approached by Lewis Ratcliff who is a member of the team putting together their plans for a project at the Indian restaurant site. Honestly though the restaurant offers great food and we go there from time to time, the project that is on the drawings that I was shown would be a massive improvement over what's there now.

I was originally worried about the height of the building but then realized when looking at the drawing package that as the grades of this site are so much lower than the 4 story condo building located to the north the actual height of the building is very compatible to the condo building and will look amazing. I also really appreciate the sensitivity to the condo building to the north as the design steps back significantly from that property line which allows all the sunlight to remain onto that building's south face without any shadowing. I would like to voice my full support for this project as it moves forward and encourage our Mayor and Council to do the same please.

Ken Leclair May 18,2020

May 18th, 2020 The Town of View Royal 45 View Royal Ave, View Royal, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor Doug Screech, Councillors Damian Kowalewich, Gery Lemon, Ron Mattson & amp; John Rogers

Regarding Existing Indian Restaurant - Corner Island Highway and Helmcken:

Our Mayor & Council:

I have been approached by Lewis Ratcliff who is a member of the team putting together their plans for a project at the Indian restaurant site. Honestly though the restaurant offers great food and we go there from time to time, the project that is on the drawings that I was shown would be a massive improvement over what's there now.

I was originally worried about the height of the building but then realized when looking at the drawing package that as the grades of this site are so much lower than the 4 story condo building located to the north the actual height of the building is very compatible to the condo building and will look amazing. I also really appreciate the sensitivity to the condo building to the north as the design steps back significantly from that property line which allows all the sunlight to remain onto that building's south face without any shadowing. I would like to voice my full support for this project as it moves forward and encourage our Mayor and Council to do the same please.

Jacqueline Ratcliff
May 18, 2020

May 15th, 2020

View Royal Town Hall 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Attention:

Mayor and Council

Re:

298 Island Highway, Victoria. B.C.

Dear Worship & Council:

I am writing to you to express my full support for the development plans that have been prepared by Ankenman Marchand.

I appreciate all the design work that has gone into the fronts and sides of the building- the ideas for signage, the custom canopies that will provide protection from the rain, and the plaza in front! Brilliant! It seems that we will all finally have a place to go and gather outside for coffee and a snack!

This in my opinion is exactly what our town needs and I therefore offer my full support as this project moves ahead.

Sincerely

07500

24 MAY 2020

Date

May 20th, 2020

The Town of View Royal 45 View Royal Ave, View Royal, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor Doug Screech, Councillors Damian Kowalewich, Gery Lemon, Ron Mattson & John Rogers

Regarding Existing Indian Restaurant - Corner Island Highway and Helmcken:

Our Mayor & Council:

I would like to voice my full support for this project as it moves forward.

I think View Royal is in need of some development that will continue to keep the small town feel. Having a building that increases density while improving the look of the area and providing some small commercial space is exactly what I would be looking for.

The design is very impressive and hopefully a market of some kind will fill the space on the ground floor. It would fit right in with the existing buildings on the south side of Old Island Hwy and will hopefully start a change north as well.

Turning the corner into an area where all amenities are available by walking would be an excellent change.

CHRIS CAVAGHAN	20 MAY 2020
Name	Date

May 19th, 2020 View Royal Town Hall 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor and Council

Re: 298 Island Highway, Victoria. B.C.

Dear Council:

I am writing to you to express my full support for the development plans that have been prepared by Ankenman Marchand Architects for the corner of Helmcken & Old Island Hwy.

I must say, this development looks amazing and is just what View Royal needs. The aesthetic look of the building is beautiful and the way the building looks like a 4 story building, with the top two floors setback, is stunning. The Developers attention to detail is clear and the use of wood elements around the base of the building and around its façade that gives it a true West Coast & View Royal look. I also really appreciate all the design work that has gone into the fronts and sides of the building-the ideas for signage, the custom canopies that will provide protection from the rain, and the plaza in front!

This could be an amazing meeting place and create a downtown core for us in View Royal. This is exactly what our town needs and I therefore offer my full support as this project moves ahead.

Thank you for listening!!

Name

Date

May 19th, 2020 View Royal Town Hall 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor and Council

Re: 298 Island Highway, Victoria. B.C.

Dear Council:

I write to you to extend my full support in regards to the development plans that have been prepared by Ankenman Marchand Architects for the corner of Helmcken & Old Island Hwy. I am more than happy to so as I see this as a very important, and needed progression for our community.

The building looks absolutely amazing! The thoughtfully designed the exterior is nothing I have ever seen before, the top floors of the building are very modern, and the plans to have a plaza below would be an exceptional addition for residents of the building, and locals within View Royal as well! View Royal does not have a central area, and I believe every town should have one. It brings people in, and provides amenities for those who are already residing in the area.

This could be an amazing addition to our town and I truly believe it is needed. I push forth my full support of this new development and am very excited to see the what else is to come in our beautiful town. I sincerely thank you for taking the time to listen to what I have to say, all the best!

Name

Date

May 24st, 2020

View Royal Mayor and Council 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Re: Corner Old Island Highway & Helmcken, View Royal

I have been approached by a member of the Development Team that are responsible for the above project and have reviewed the plans that have been prepared for this location where the existing Namaste Indian Restaurant is currently located. They have asked for my opinion on their proposal which I am happy to share.

I believe this is precisely the type of development that View Royal needs: it is a very high quality design, it offers a wide variety of much needed housing in our town, and is located on major road where this type of development is appropriate.

Please support this project as it moves forward as this is a project that our entire town will be very proud of!!!

Name Milasko

MAY 24 2020

May 26th, 2020

The Town of View Royal 45 View Royal Ave, View Royal, BC V9B 1A6

Mayor Doug Screech, Councillors Damian Kowalewich, Gery Lemon, Ron Attention: Mattson & John Rogers

Regarding Existing Indian Restaurant - Corner Island Highway and Helmcken:

Our Mayor & Council:

We have been living in View Royal since 2005 and have loved the gradual development. The next step seems to be this corner and this design would look great. The amenities provided will enhance the neighbourhood and bring a nice feel to our municipality which we feel is a hidden gem in Victoria.

I would like to voice my full support for this project as it moves forward and encourage our Mayor and Council to do the same please.

Shannon Cauaghan Name

16 MAY 20 Date

May 20st, 2020

View Royal Mayor and Council 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Re: Corner Old Island Highway & Helmcken, View Royal

In my opinion, I think this would be a positive development in this community. I would definitely be looking forward to the amenities that will be provided.

The whole corner is in need of improving and this would be a great start.

I will support this project.

Mark	Cacovic	
Name		

2020-05-76 Date May 21st, 2020

View Royal Mayor and Council 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Re: Corner Old Island Highway & Helmcken, View Royal

I have been approached by a member of the Development Team that are responsible for the above project and have reviewed the plans that have been prepared for this location where the existing Namaste Indian Restaurant is currently located. They have asked for my opinion on their proposal which I am happy to share.

I believe this is precisely the type of development that View Royal needs: it is a very high quality design, it offers a wide variety of much needed housing in our town, and is located on major road where this type of development is appropriate.

Please support this project as it moves forward as this is a project that our entire town will be very proud of!!!

Adam Pedersen

President Governors Point Strata View Royal, BC

May 21, 2020

May 19th, 2020 View Royal Town Hall 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor and Council

Re: 298 Island Highway, Victoria. B.C.

Dear Council:

The reason we are writing to you today is in regards to the new development plans that have been prepared by Ankenman Marchand Architects. We would personally like to extend our full, enthusiastic support for this new development.

The building itself is absolutely beautiful by design but furthermore, if we may say so, a necessary addition to our town. We have so much potential here to grow and expand and we believe this new development is the perfect first step in the right direction. It has the potential to be a central "downtown" for us here in View Royal, and with this said, both myself, and my partner pledge our full endorsement of this project. This development looks amazing and is just what View Royal needs. View Royal's need for growth aside, the aesthetic of the buildings exterior is breathtaking. We think that a 4 story building is a great and the architecture of the top floors is captivating. With having a plaza central to the building itself will be a huge asset for residents in the surrounding areas.

Thank you for taking the time to read this letter. All the best.

Name

May 25, 2020

Daté

May 19th, 2020 View Royal Town Hall 45 View Royal Ave, Victoria, BC V9B 1A6

Attention: Mayor and Council

Re: 298 Island Highway, Victoria. B.C.

Dear Council:

I write this letter in respect to the development plans that have been prepared by Ankenman Marchand Architects for the corner of Helmcken & Old Island Hwy. I want to extend my endorsement verbally.

This Development, in my opinion, seems to be exactly what View Royal needs! The structure of the building is stunning. The sense of style displayed by the Developers is prominent in the way they have designed the infrastructure itself. The thoughtfully designed exterior is something to be appreciated, I absolutely love the West Coast feel to the building and the attention to detail is very clear.

The plaza in the front of the building is going to be a great asset to the residents of the building and View Royal. I believe View Royal is in need of a Downtown area to push View Royal to progress into a central hub for businesses and residents. This could be an amazing opportunity for View Royal to grow and expand. A new building, central located in View Royal is exactly what our town needs, and for this reason I offer my full support as this project moves ahead.

Thank you for listening!!

KEITH THUMPSON ShiNey Trompson

Wiff
Name

Date

S

DESIGN RATIONALE - 289 ISLAND HIGHWAY, VIEW ROYAL

U

The Site:

_

This site is currently used by Namaste restaurant with a large surrounding parking lot and is located on the north-east corner of Island Highway and Helmcken Road. The site is bordered by Island Highway, a busy corridor on the south and Helmcken Road on the west, that connects the ocean front with View Royal Elementary school and Helmcken Central Park. On the north and east of the site are residential buildings.

I

This highly visible corner site provides a tremendous opportunity to create a landmark, gateway building that, if designed correctly, will set an outstanding precedent for future development along this corridor.

~

The Context:

◂

Across Island Highway on the south-east corner is View Royal Square, a two-story commercial use building. To the west, on the south side is one-story residential building and the Salvation Army Thrift store. Across Helmcken Road to the west on the north side, there is an undeveloped site. To the immediate north, at the slightly higher elevation, there are single family buildings that potentially are going to be developed into townhomes. To the immediate east of the site, there is Lion's Cove, a three-story residential building seated at a visibly higher elevation then the subject site. The site is also in close proximity to the ocean front, parks and schools.

The Proposal:

Consistent with View Royal's OCP and to ensure activation of the pedestrian streetscape, we are proposing a mixed-use development with commercial use at grade with a variety of multiple unit residential uses above.

The two parking uses are intentionally split – the parking for commercial use has been placed behind the building fronting onto Island Highway yet it has been designed as convenient parking for the commercial users providing direct access from their vehicles into the main commercial use. This is proposed to be accessed via a convenient right-in right out access for those heading west along the highway.

A large landscaped buffer is introduced between the surface parking and the 3 properties fronting onto Eltham Road c/w a high hedge and large trees to ensure adequate buffering

Ankenman Marchand Architects Timothy Ankenman, Architect AIBC, MRAIC - Principal François Marchand, Architect AIBC - Principal 1645 West 5th Avenue, Vancouver, BC, V6J 1N5 Tel: (604) 872-2595 FAX: (604) 872-2505 EMAIL: <u>tim@amarchitects.com</u> between our proposal and the rear of the future townhouses proposed for those three sites once consolidated.

All residential parking, for security purposes are proposed as being housed in a secured underground parkade accessed along the more benign street, Helmcken.

The commercial convenience parking is placed behind the building where it is not visible. A tremendous streetscape opportunity emerges here as ample room is now allocated to a very large, activated public gathering plaza in front of the commercial use.

This space is envisioned to become very well used when an active retailer is selected for the commercial use. This space will become a meeting place for friends and family, spillover areas for the retail use (ie, outdoor seating, benches, and a place to simply relax at this gathering area.

Commercial Use:

In selecting a commercial user, we envision a tenant that provides a convenience offering for both the residents for the project, as well as the community at large, and further, a tenant that would assist in aiding towards the active and colourful streetscape activities. We believe that a small boutique grocery retailer to this site, will provide all the active streetscape opportunities that are envisaged for this important site and will include outdoor colourful fruit and vegetable displays, overhead doors allowing the transition between the store and sidewalk/plaza to become seamless, a coffee bar with outdoor seating, outdoor flower displays, outdoor seating and the like.

Residential Uses:

In order to designate the ground floor of the Island Highway frontage as purely pedestrianactivated, we have located the main residential entry adjacent to the residential parkade entry along the west side of the building on Helmcken where the pedestrian activity will be much more benign.

The proposal consists of a very wide variety of units ranging from one-bedroom units for first time purchasers and investors for rental to 3-bedroom family units. This wide variety is intended to appeal to all socio-economic demographics as much as possible including renters, first time purchaser's, young families, empty-nesters, seniors and the disabled.

Common Amenities:

While the "standard and typical" strata meeting room has been conveniently placed on the ground floor adjacent the building's entry, a large area on the roof of the building has been allocated for other common amenity uses (to be defined) but is not limited to an exercise studio, yoga room, common work place/offices, etc. It is also located on the roof to provide direct access to large outdoor patio and urban agricultural gardening plots for the residents, as the views from this terrace will be outstanding and will capture water views as well as maximizing sun exposure for growing medium for the proposed resident gardening plots.

Ample bicycle storage, EV charging stations and the like are implemented into the parkade design and ample room has been reserved for other amenities desired from future residents like, kayak storage, etc.

Massing:

The massing has been very intentionally designed so the building in its entirety reads as 3 stories of residential around the building's commercial floor with the top two floors of residential set far back from the main façade:

This form of terracing also ensures no overlooking, privacy nor overshadowing will occur between the proposal and the adjacent multiple-unit residential project named "Lion's Cove" which is also well set back from the common property line and has a significant existing tree buffer separating the two buildings.

The accent is intentionally given to the corner element, taking in consideration the site's gateway location to View Royal.

Summary:

It is anticipated that the building design will be highly enjoyed by the building's ultimate users, the public and visitors alike, will be harmonious at the same time distinct from the surrounding context.

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY, VICTORIA, B.C.

MIXED-USE - COMMERCIAL / RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Issued for Rezoning - June 17, 2020

Architect

Surveyor

Glen Mitchell Land Surveying Inc 1030 North Park Street Victoria , BC V8T 1C6 Contact: Glen Mitchell Email: glen@mitchellSurvey.ca Tel: 250.385.17112

Landscape

ADDOZ Engineering Inc.
4128 Fraser Street
Vancouver, BC VSV 4E8
Contact: Emad Ealsaidi
Email: ealsaidi@addozeng.ca
Tel: 587.315.3604



SHEET NUMBER	SHEET NAME	SHEET NUMBER	
			_
A001	COVER PAGE & DRAWING LIST	A200	E
A002	STATISTICS	A210	E
A030	CONTEXT	A220	E
A040	STREETSCAPE	A230	E
A041	STREETSCAPE	A300	S
A050	BUILDING CODE DATA	A310	S
A090	SHADOW STUDY	A320	S
A100	SURVEY	A330	S
A101	SITE PLAN	A340	s
A105	PARKING LEVEL - P2 FLOOR PLAN	A600	3
A107	PARKING LEVEL - P1 FLOOR PLAN	A610	3
A110	GROUND FLOOR PLAN	A810	Α
A120	LEVEL 2 FLOOR PLAN	A820	Α
A130	LEVEL 3 FLOOR PLAN	A830	Α
A140	LEVEL 4 FLOOR PLAN	A840	Α
A150	LEVEL 5 FLOOR PLAN	A850	Α
A160	LEVEL 6 FLOOR PLAN	A860	Α
Δ170	ROOF PLAN	-	_

SHEET NUMBER	SHEET NAME
A200	ELEVATION - SOUTH
A210	ELEVATION - WEST
A220	ELEVATION - NORTH
A230	ELEVATION - EAST
A300	SECTION 1 - EAST & WEST - MAIN RAMP
A310	SECTION 2 - EAST & WEST - STAIR
A320	SECTION 3 - EAST & WEST
A330	SECTION 4 - NORTH & SOUTH - RAMP
A340	SECTION 5 - NORTH & SORTH
A600	3D REPRESENTATIONS
A610	3D REPRESENTATIONS
A810	AREAS - LEVEL 1
A820	AREAS - LEVEL 2
A830	AREAS - LEVEL 3
A840	AREAS - LEVEL 4
A850	AREAS - LEVEL 5
A860	AREAS - LEVEL 6

Attachment 6 ARCHITE C*P*a§re 1 of 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND Sth BC Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway **COVER PAGE & DRAWING LIST** Project Status: SUBMISSION

2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

		5
No.	Date	Description
		n this set to be read in

conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority. © Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale:

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY, VICTORIA, B.C.

MIXED-USE - COMMERCIAL / RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Issued for Rezoning - June 17, 2020

STATISTICS:

Legal Description:

LOT 1, SECTION 8, ESQUIMALT DISTRICT, PLAN 35505, PID 000-348-554

Civic Address:

298 Island Highway, Victoria, BC

Zoning: Current: C-1 (Community Commercial

Proposed Use:

Proposed: CD (Comprehensive Devolpment - Mixed Use)

Based on survey information by Glen Mitchell Land

Surveying Inc. Victoria, B.C. Dated: January 13th, 2020

DEVELOPMENT DATA SUMMARY:

Site Area: 1,853.00 m2 (19,945.53 SF)

1 482 40 m2 (15 956 42 SF) Per C-1 = 0.8

5,559.00 m2 (59,836.58 SF)

926.50 m2

Proposed Gross FSR: Proposed per CD = 3.0

Permitted Site Coverage:

Proposed Site Coverage 1,391.00 m2 Proposed per CD = 75%

HEIGHT:

9 m

20.23 m (6 Storevs) Proposed per CD

SETBACKS:

Required per C-1:

min. 7.5m min. 7.5m Front lot line Rear lot line min. 6m Side lot line Flanking lot line

Proposed per CD: Front lot line

Rear lot line varies 3.12m to 3.95m varies 3.12m to 4.33m Flanking lot line

SR CALCULATION		GI	ROSS FLOOR AR	EA	EXCLUSIONS		1			115
	# OF UNITS	UNITS FLOOR AREA	CIRCULATION FLOOR AREA	GROSS FLOOR AREA PER USE	AMENITY/SERVICE Amenity, Mezzanine, Mech./Elec., at or below grade (Excluded)	WALL AREA (Excluded)	NET FLOOR AREA for total FSR calc.	FSR	OPEN BALCONY AREA	OPEN BALCONY
		m2	m2	m2	m2	m2	m2	\Box	m2	%
	A	В	C	D = B+C+E	E	F	H = D-E-F	G	Н	
EVEL 1 RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL - RETAIL		559.31	80.34 46.66	126.72 654.49	46.38 48.52	0.00	80.34 605.97	0.04		
EVEL 2 RESIDENTIAL	12	1,051.53	111.36	1,164.58	1.69	0.00	1,162.89	0.63	0.00	0.00%
EVEL 3 RESIDENTIAL	A B SIDENTIAL L - RETAIL 1 559. SIDENTIAL 12 1,051 SIDENTIAL 12 1,051 SIDENTIAL 12 985. SIDENTIAL 11 760. SIDENTIAL 7 477. 54 4,326		111.36	1.164.59	1.69	0.00	1,162.90	0.63	72.34	6.21%
EVEL 4 RESIDENTIAL	12	985.37	111.36	1,098.42	1.69	0.00	1,096.73	0.59	79.50	7.24%
EVEL 5 RESIDENTIAL	11	760.14	113.15	874.98	1.69	0.00	873.29	0.47	18.15	2.07%
EVEL 6 RESIDENTIAL	7	477.60	98.44	604.25	28.21	0.00	576.04	0.31	0.00	0.00%
OTAL RESIDENTIAL	54	4,326.18	626.01	5,033.54	81,35	0.00	4,952.19	2.67	169.99	3.38%
OTAL COMMERCIAL	1	559.31	46.66	654.49	48.52	0.00	605.97	0.33		1
OTAL	55	4,885.49	672.67	5,688.03	129.87	0.00	5,558.16	3.00		
						ren -	£ £ £ £ 0.40	2.00		

PARKING:

PARKING REQUIRED PER 5.10 (TABLE 5.2):

Studio or One Bedroom 1 stall per dweling unit: 16 units x 1 slatll = 16 stalls Two Bedroom 1.5 stall per dweling unit:
Three Bedroom or more 2 stalls per dweling unit: 37 units x 1.5 stall = 56 stalls 1 unit x 2 stalls = 2 stalls

Total Residential number of stalls required:

Commercial:

559.3 m2 / 20 m2 = 28 stalls Retail store: 1 per 20m2 of floor area

102 STALS

Total Commercial number of stalls required: 28 stalls

TOTAL NUMBER OF STALLS REQUIRED:

PARKING PROPOSED:

Residential

stalls stalls Standard parking stalls: 21 51 Small parking stalls: stalls Disability parking stalls

Total Residential parking proposed: 76 stalls

2 12 stalls Standard parking stalls: stalls stalls Disability parking stalls: stall

Total Commercial number of stalls proposed: 28 stalls

Standard stalls total: 40 (40%) (30% allowed) Small stalls total: 64 (60%) TOTAL NUMBER OF STALLS PROPOSED: 104 STALLS Seeking relaxation on Standard v.s. Small stalls ratio.

LOADING:

LOADING SPACES REQUIRED PER 5.10 (TABLE 5.3):

Commercial:

Retail store: One for up to 500m2 floor ara; Two for 500m2 to 2,500 m2 floor areas

Commercial floor area = 656 m2 Total Commercial number of stalls proposed: 1 stall

Total Commercial number of stalls required: 2 stalls

Seeking relaxation on 1 loading stall.

BICYCLE PARKING:

REQUIRED PER 5.11 (TABLE 5.4):

1 stall per dweling unit (Class 1): 6-space rack per entrance (Class 2): six space rack 1 Rack (Class 2)

Retail store: 1 per 250m2 of floor area Class 1 (50%) = 2 stalls Class 2 (50 %) = 1 stalls 654.49 m2 / 250 m2 2.6 stalls

PROPOSED:

Classs 1 Horizontal stalls: stalls Class 2 Rack:

Total Residential stalls proposed: 68 stalls

Commercial:

Classs 1 Horizontal stalls: stalls

Total Commercial number of stalls proposed: 8 stalls

TOTAL NUMBER OF STALLS PROPOSED: 76 STALLS

stalls

COMMERCIAL UNITS BREAKDOWN				
COUNT				
1				
1				

	RESIDENTIAL AREA BREAKDOWN							
LEVEL	UNIT#	UNIT TYPE	AREA (m2)	AREA (SF)				
LEVEL 2		RES. CIRCULATION	111.36 m²	1,198.7 SF				
LEVEL 2	201	2 BDR + D	103.29 m²	1,111.76 SF				
LEVEL 2	202	2 BDR	90.44 m²	973.49 SF				
LEVEL 2	203	2 BDR	90.44 m²	973.49 SF				
LEVEL 2	204	2 BDR	91.75 m²	987.6 SF				
LEVEL 2 LEVEL 2	205	2 BDR 2 BDR + D	74.34 m² 100.99 m²	800.23 SF 1,087.02 SF				
LEVEL 2	207	2 BDR + D	98.36 m²	1,058.69 SF				
LEVEL 2	208	2 BDR + D	92.91 m²	1.000.08 SF				
LEVEL 2	209	2 BDR + D	88.14 m²	948.74 SF				
LEVEL 2	210	2 BDR	85.62 m²	921.58 SF				
LEVEL 2	211	2 BDR	80.8 m²	869.75 SF				
LEVEL 2	212	1 BDR	54.45 m²	586.14 SF				
LEVEL 2			1,162.89 m²	12,517.27 SF				
LEVEL 3		RES. CIRCULATION	111.36 m²	1,198.7 SF				
LEVEL 3	301	2 BDR + D	103.29 m²	1,111.76 SF				
LEVEL 3	302	2 BDR + D	90.44 m²	973.49 SF				
LEVEL 3	303	2 BDR + D	90.44 m²	973.49 SF				
LEVEL 3	304	2 BDR	91.75 m²	987.6 SF				
LEVEL 3	305	2 BDR	74.34 m²	800.23 SF				
LEVEL 3	306	2 BDR + D	100.99 m²	1,087.02 SF				
LEVEL 3	307	2 BDR + D	98.36 m²	1,058.69 SF				
LEVEL 3	308	2 BDR	92.91 m²	1,000.08 SF				
LEVEL 3	309	2 BDR	87.9 m²	946.14 SF				
LEVEL 3	310 311	2 BDR 2 BDR	85.87 m ² 80.8 m ²	924.26 SF 869.75 SF				
LEVEL 3	312	1 BDR	54.45 m²	586.14 SF				
LEVEL 3	312	TBBIX	1,162.9 m²	12.517.35 SF				
LEVEL 4		RES. CIRCULATION	111.36 m²	1,198.7 SF				
LEVEL 4	401	2 BDR + D	103.29 m²	1,111.76 SF				
LEVEL 4	402	2 BDR	90.44 m²	973.49 SF				
LEVEL 4	403	1 BDR + D	77.84 m²	837.81 SF				
LEVEL 4	404	1 BDR	64.04 m²	689.28 SF				
LEVEL 4	405	1 BDR	48.32 m²	520.07 SF				
LEVEL 4	406	2 BDR + D	101.17 m²	1,089 SF				
LEVEL 4	407	2 BDR + D	98.36 m²	1,058.69 SF				
LEVEL 4	408	2 BDR + D	92.91 m²	1,000.08 SF				
LEVEL 4	409	2 BDR + D	88.14 m²	948.73 SF				
LEVEL 4 LEVEL 4	410	2 BDR 2 BDR	85.62 m ² 80.8 m ²	921.64 SF 869.75 SF				
LEVEL 4	412	1 BDR	54.45 m²	586.14 SF				
LEVEL 4	712	1 DDIX	1,096.73	11,805.15 SF				
LEVEL 5		RES. CORRIDOR	113.15 m ²	1,217.89 SF				
LEVEL 5	501	2 BDR	74.31 m²	799.87 SF				
LEVEL 5	502	1 BDR	58.61 m²	630.86 SF				
LEVEL 5	503	1 BDR	58.61 m²	630.86 SF				
LEVEL 5	504	1 BDR	60.36 m²	649.75 SF				
LEVEL 5	505	2 BDR	83.57 m²	899.57 SF				
LEVEL 5	506	1 BDR	62.32 m²	670.81 SF				
LEVEL 5	507	1 BDR	62.32 m²	670.81 SF				
LEVEL 5	508	1 BDR + D	72.71 m²	782.61 SF				
LEVEL 5	509	1 BDR + D	70.3 m²	756.72 SF				
LEVEL 5	510	2 BDR	74.29 m²	799.64 SF				
LEVEL 5	511	2 BDR + D	82.73 m²	890.52 SF				
LEVEL 5		CORRIDOR	873.28 m²	9,399.91 SF				
LEVEL 6	601	2 BDR	85.63 m ² 71.85 m ²	921.75 SF 773.41 SF				
LEVEL 6	602	2 BDR + D	63.52 m ²	683.69 SF				
LEVEL 6	603	3 BDR	108.88 m²	1,171.94 SF				
LEVEL 6	604	1 BDR + D	60.46 m²	650.8 SF				
LEVEL 6	605	1 BDR	46.78 m²	503.57 SF				
LEVEL 6	606	1 BDR	56.45 m²	607.66 SF				
LEVEL 6	607	2 BDR	69.66 m²	749.8 SF				
	•	•	563.23 m²	6,062.6 SF				
LEVEL 6			000.20111	0,002.0 01				

UNIT MIX:

RESIDENTIAL UNITS BREAKDOWN						
COUNT						
12						
4						
20						
17						
1						
54						

COMMERCIAL UNITS BREAKDOWN						
UNIT TYPE	COUNT					
COMMERCIAL	1					
COMMERCIAL UNITS TOTAL	1					



Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway STATISTICS

Project Status:


SUBMISSION Date 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning


REVISION

No.	Date	Description
1	2020-08-25	Revision per City comments
_		
_		
		s in this set to be read in with each other. Any errors

Architect before commencing work.
Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority. © Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale DWG. NO: A002





SITE - 298 ISLAN HIGHWAY

Attachment 6 ARCHITE C**P**āģe<mark>3 o</mark>f 35

1645 West : Vancouver, t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

Project:

1938

Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

CONTEXT

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION

Date (YYYY-MM-DD) _2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

REVISION

No. Date Description







EXISTING STREET SCAPE ALONG ISLAND HWY



PROPOSED STREET SCAPE ALONG ISLAND HWY

	A	R	С	н	ı	т	Е	Attaci ^C Paĝe		
Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5									ANKENMAN	
Tel: (604) 872-2595Hax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com									MARCHAND	

Proje
1938

Jeffrey Sengara

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

Drawing:

STREETSCAPE

Project Status:

SUBMISSION

zoning

REVISION

No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1 : 192

M DW



EXISTING STREET SCAPE ALONG HELMCKEN ROAD



PROPOSED STREET SCAPE ALONG HELMCKEN ROAD

Attachment 6 ARCHITE C*P*aĝe <mark>5 o</mark>f 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND 5th

Project: 1938

Jeffrey Sengara

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway

STREETSCAPE

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION

2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning	2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning	n

REVISION

Description No. Date

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1 : 192

DWG. NO:

A041

OPENING AREA: ALLOWED %: PROPOSED %:

BASED ON BCBC 2018 Table 3.2.3.7
ALLOWED CONSTR+CLADDING:

1 HR Combust. or Noncombust. constr. + Noncombust. Clad
PROPOSED CONSTR+CLADDING:

LEGEND:

EXPOSED AREA

OPENING

1HR Combust. Constr. + Noncombust. Clad

CALCULATION OF SPRINKLERED BLDG EXPOSING BLDG FACE: BCBC 2018 TABLE 3.2.3.1.D NORTH ELEVATION

LIMITING DIST.: WALL AREA: OPENING AREA: ALLOWED %: PROPOSED %: 6.3 m 844.0 m2 317.73 m2 56.2%

PROPOSED %: 38%

BASED ON BCBC 2018 Table 3.2.3.7

ALLOWED CONSTR+CLADDING:

45 min Combust. or Noncombust. constr. + Combustable or Noncombust. Clad

PROPOSED CONSTR+CLADDING:

45 min Combust. Constr. + Noncombust. Clad



LEVEL 3 102.75' LOWEST AVARAGE -GRADE

3 WEST ELEVATION - BUILDING HEIGT 1:150

ARCHITE C**Pa**§e<mark>6 of 35</mark>

Attachment 6

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

BC BC

Project: 1938

Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

BUILDING CODE DATA

Project Status:

SUBMISSION

escrip	tion	

REVISION Description No. Date

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work.
Contractors are responsible to ensure


DWG. NO:

Contractors are responsible to ensum: that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: As indicated

A050






REVISION

No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.





Attachment 6 ARCHITE CPage 8 of 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND 5th Avenue V6J 1N5 <u>e</u>:) 872-2505 litects.com Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway Drawing:

SURVEY

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning


REVISION No. Date Description











Attachment 6 ARCHITE **P**age 10 of 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5

Project: 1938

Jeffrey Sengara

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

PARKING LEVEL - P2 FLOOR PLAN

Project Status: **RZ**


SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) Description _2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning _2020-08-31 Re-Issued for Rezoning

REVISION

No. Date Description 2020-08-25 Revision per City comments







Attachment 6 ARCHITE **P**age 11 of 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND 1645 West 5th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5 Tel: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com

l	Project
	1938

Jeffrey Sengara

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

PARKING LEVEL - P1 FLOOR

PLAN

Project Status: **RZ**


SUBMISSION


Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	Description
2020-06-17 Issued for	Rezoning
2020-08-31 Re-Issue	d for Rezoning

REVISION

No.	Date	Description	
1	2020-08-25	Revision per City comments	
			_
			-
			_
			-
			-
			_
			_
			_







Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**gê 1<mark>3 o</mark>f 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND 5th Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway **LEVEL 2 FLOOR PLAN** Project Status: SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning


REVISION					
Date	Description				

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100





Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**gê <mark>14 o</mark>f 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND 5th Avenue V6J 1N5) 872-2505 nitects.com Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway **LEVEL 3 FLOOR PLAN** Project Status: SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning


RE	VISION
Date	Des

Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100



Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**ge 1<mark>5 o</mark>f 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND 5th Avenue V6J 1N5 Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway **LEVEL 4 FLOOR PLAN** Project Status: **RZ** SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

REVISION


No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100





Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**ge 1<mark>6 o</mark>f 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND 5th Avenue V6J 1N5 Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway **LEVEL 5 FLOOR PLAN** Project Status: SUBMISSION Date Description

	(YYYY-MM-DD)
- 3	2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning
_	
_	
_	


REVISION No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100






Attachment 6
ARCHITE **Pa**ge 17 of 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5 Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway LEVEL 6 FLOOR PLAN Project Status: **RZ** SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning


	EVISION
	VISION
ate	Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1 : 100





Project: 1938

Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

ROOF PLAN

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION

Description Date (YYYY-MM-DD) 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

REVISION

No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

DWG. NO: A170

Scale: 1 : 100



	MATERIAL LEGEND
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT GREY.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: DARK GREY.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: WHITE.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: ASH, WOOD TEXTURE.
	FIBER CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: MAHOGANY, WOOD TEXTURE.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT BEIGE.
	FABRIC AWNING. COLOUR: RED.
	STOREFRONT GLASS & ALUMINIUM. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
0	METAL & AND GLASS GUARD RAIL. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
1	VINYL WINDOWS COLOR: CHARCOAL.
7	CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE.
8	ALUMINUM WINDOW. COLOR: CHARCOAL.
9	METAL SUNSHADE. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
0	WOOD & AND GLASS CANOPY.
2	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: ALUMINUM.
3	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: CHARCOAL



Attachment 6
ANKENMAN MARCHAND

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

19 of 35

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

1645 West 5th Avenue
Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5

Tel: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2595Fax

Project:

1938 Jeffrey Sengara

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

Drawing: **ELEVATION - SOUTH**

Project Status: **RZ**

Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	Description	
2020-06-17 Issued for	Rezoning	
1020 00 17 133404 101		
1020 00 17 133aca 101		
325 00 17 133404 101		
320 00 TY 133400 TO	9	

REVISION		
No.	Date	Description
_		

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale	e:	
1	:	100

	MATERIAL LEGEND
1	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT GREY.
2	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: DARK GREY.
3	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: WHITE.
4	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: ASH, WOOD TEXTURE.
5	FIBER CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: MAHOGANY, WOOD TEXTURE.
6	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT BEIGE.
7	FABRIC AWNING. COLOUR: RED.
9	STOREFRONT GLASS & ALUMINIUM. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
10	METAL & AND GLASS GUARD RAIL. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
11	VINYL WINDOWS COLOR: CHARCOAL.
17	CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE.
18	ALUMINUM WINDOW. COLOR: CHARCOAL.
19	METAL SUNSHADE. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
20	WOOD & AND GLASS CANOPY.
22	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: ALUMINUM.
23	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: CHARCOAL





Attachment 6
Parge 20 of 35

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

A R C H I T E Parge 20 of 35

ANKENMAN MARCHAND

1645 West 5th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5

Tel: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505

Email: office@AMArchitects.com

Project:
4000

1938

Jeffrey Sengara
298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

Drawing

ELEVATION - WEST

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION

Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	Description	
2020-06-17 Issued fo	r Rezoning	

REVISION

No.	Date	Description
_		

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100

	MATERIAL LEGEND
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT GREY.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: DARK GREY.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: WHITE.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: ASH, WOOD TEXTURE.
	FIBER CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: MAHOGANY, WOOD TEXTURE.
	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT BEIGE.
	FABRIC AWNING. COLOUR: RED.
	STOREFRONT GLASS & ALUMINIUM. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
0	METAL & AND GLASS GUARD RAIL. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
1	VINYL WINDOWS COLOR: CHARCOAL.
7	CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE.
8	ALUMINUM WINDOW. COLOR: CHARCOAL.
9	METAL SUNSHADE. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
0	WOOD & AND GLASS CANOPY.
2	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: ALUMINUM.
3	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: CHARCOAL



Attachment 6 ARCHITE **(Pa**īg**ê** 2<mark>1 o</mark>f 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND 1645 West Vancouver, t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5 <u>e</u>:

Project: 1938

Jeffrey Sengara

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

ELEVATION - NORTH

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

REVI	SION
Date	Descript

No. Da

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1 : 100

	MATERIAL LEGEND
1	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT GREY.
2	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: DARK GREY.
3	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: WHITE.
4	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: ASH, WOOD TEXTURE.
5	FIBER CEMENT PANEL. COLOR: MAHOGANY, WOOD TEXTURE.
6	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL SYSTEMS. COLOR: LIGHT BEIGE.
7	FABRIC AWNING. COLOUR: RED.
9	STOREFRONT GLASS & ALUMINIUM. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
10	METAL & AND GLASS GUARD RAIL. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
11	VINYL WINDOWS COLOR: CHARCOAL.
17	CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE.
18	ALUMINUM WINDOW. COLOR: CHARCOAL.
19	METAL SUNSHADE. COLOR: ALUMINUM.
20	WOOD & AND GLASS CANOPY.
22	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: ALUMINUM.
23	METAL AND GLASS CURTAIN WALL.COLOR: CHARCOAL



Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**īgê 2<mark>2 o</mark>f 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND 1645 West : Vancouver, st 5th Avenue rr, BC V6J 1N5

	Pro	je
--	-----	----

1938

Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

ELEVATION - EAST

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION

Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	Description	
2020-06-17 Issued for	Rezoning	

REVISION

No.	Date	Description	
			_


All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1 : 100

DWG. NO:

A230



1 : 100 SECTION - EAST & WEST - MAIN RAMP

	A R	С	ΗΙ	Т		Att Pa	g ê ∶	
Vanco								A
1645 West 5th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5								ANNENMAN
Tel: (6								Ž
(604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com								MAKCHAN
2-2505 ts.com								Z
298 Is 298 Is Drawi SEC MAII	y Seng ISLA sland H	ND lighw I 1 -	ау - ЕА				EST.	
1938 Jeffreg 298 298 Is Drawi SEC MAII	y Seng ISLA sland H ng: TION N RA	IIghw I 1 - IMP us:	ау - ЕА	ST.		WE	€ST	-
1938 Jeffrey 298 298 Is Drawi SEC MAII Project RZ	y Seng ISLA Island H ng: ITION N RA ct State	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	BMI	SS scr	· &	WE		
1938 Jeffrey 298 298 Is Drawi SEC MAII Project RZ	y Seng ISLA Iland H ng: TION N RA	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	BMI	SS scr	· &	WE	ST	
1938 Jeffrey 298 298 Is Drawi SEC MAII Project RZ	y Seng ISLA Island H ng: ITION N RA ct State	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	BMI	SS scr	· &	WE	ST.	-
1938 Jeffree 298 Jeffree 2020-06	y Seng ISLA Island H ng: ITION N RA ct State	InD lighw	BMII De	SS	· &	WE	:ST	-
1938 Jeffree 298 Jeffree 2020-06	y Seng ISLA ISLA IN RA IN RA IN RA IN RA IN ISLA IN IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN	InD lighw	BBMII De	SS	· &	WE	:ST	
1938 Jeffree 298 Jeffree 2020-06	y Seng ISLA ISLA IN RA IN RA IN RA IN RA IN ISLA IN IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN ISLA IN	IND dighw	BMII De	SS	· &	WE	ST	

No.	Date	Description

conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale:	6:04 PM	DWG. NO:
1.100	20:06:17:5:5	A300




1:100 SECTION - EAST & WEST - STAIR

					gê 2	
1645 Vanc						<u> </u>
1645 West 5th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5					:	
Avenue V6J 1N					!	z
51					;	
Tel: (604 E					;	~
(604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com)
)5Fax: (6 ce@AMA					(IARCHAN
04) 872- vrchitects					;	Š
.0 25					į	
Project 1938 Jeffrey	: Sengara SLAND	HIGH	iw.	ΑΥ		_
Project 1938 Jeffrey 298 Isla Drawin SECT STAII	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: FION 2 -	ay			:ST -	
Project 1938 Jeffrey 298 Isla Drawin SECT STAII	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: FION 2 - R	ay • EAS	Т 8	. WE	est -	
Project 1938 Jeffrey 298 IS 298 Isla Drawin Project RZ	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: FION 2 - R Status:	BMIS:	T &	N ion		
Project 1938 Jeffrey 298 IS 298 Isla Drawin Project RZ	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: TION 2 - R Status:	BMIS:	T &	. WE		
Project 1938 Jeffrey 298 IS 298 Isla Drawin Project RZ	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: FION 2 - R Status:	BMIS:	T &	N ion		
Project 1938 Jeffrey 298 IS 298 Isla Drawin Project RZ	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: FION 2 - R Status:	BMIS:	T &	N ion		
Project RZ Data Drawin SECT STAII Project RZ	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: TION 2 - R Status: SU P MADD) 77 Issued for Re	BMIS: Description	T &	N Ncion		
Project 1938 Jeffrey 298 IS 298 Isla Drawin Project RZ	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: TION 2 - R Status: SU endo Tissued for Re	BMIS: Description	T &	N ion		
Project RZ Data Drawin SECT STAII Project RZ	Sengara SLAND and Highw g: TION 2 - R Status: SU P MADD) 77 Issued for Re	BMIS: Description	SIO cript	N Nion		

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale:	
1:	100




Attachment 6 ARCHITE **P**age 25 of 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND 1645 West Vancouver, 5th Avenue V6J 1N5 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway **SECTION 3 - EAST & WEST** Project Status: **RZ** SUBMISSION Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning REVISION No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100



	A	R	С	н	ı	т	E	Attachment 6 Page 26 of 35
1645 West 5th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5								ANKENMAN
Tel: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com								N MARCHAND
Project	t:							

1500
Jeffrey Sengara
298 ISLAND HIGHWAY
298 Island Highway
Drawing: SECTION 4 - NORTH & SOUTH - RAMP
Project Status:

SU	JBN	1IS	SIC	10

(YYYY-MM-DD)	Description
2020-06-17 Issued for Re	zoning

REVISION


	11	VIOIOIV
No.	Date	Description
		n this set to be read in
conji	unction with	each other. Any errors

conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100





Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**īgê <mark>27 o</mark>f 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND 1645 West Vancouver, t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5 <u>e</u>: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway SECTION 5 - NORTH & SORTH Project Status: **RZ** SUBMISSION Description Date (YYYY-MM-DD) 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

No	Date	Description
INO.	Date	Description
All	Drawings i	n this set to be read in
		each other Any error

conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale:		Ta
1:	100	11000
		0.047











ANKENMAN MARCHAND

Attachment 6
ARCHITE **Pa**Tgê 2<mark>8 o</mark>f 35

Project:

1645 West 5th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5

1938

Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

3D REPRESENTATIONS

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION

Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	Description	
2020-06-17 Issued for	r Rezoning	
		_
		_

REVISION

No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale:









ANKENMAN MARCHAND t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5

Attachment 6
ARCHITE **Pa**Tge 29 of 35

Project:

1938 Jeffrey Sengara

1645 West Vancouver,

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

3D REPRESENTATIONS

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION Date (YYYY-MM-DD) 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

REVISION


No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale:





Project:

1938 Jeffrey Sengara

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

Drawing

AREAS - LEVEL 1

Project Status:

SUBMISSION

Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	Description
2020-06-17 Issued for	Rezoning


REVISION

No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1:100



LEVEL 2 - AREAS - INCLUDED							
LEVEL	UNIT # UNIT TYPE AREA (m2) AREA (SF)						
LEVEL 2		RES. CIRCULATION	111.36 m²	1,198.7 SF			
LEVEL 2	201	2 BDR + D	103.29 m²	1,111.76 SF			
LEVEL 2	202	2 BDR	90.44 m²	973.49 SF			
LEVEL 2	203	2 BDR	90.44 m²	973.49 SF			
LEVEL 2	204	2 BDR	91.75 m²	987.6 SF			
LEVEL 2	205	2 BDR	74.34 m²	800.23 SF			
LEVEL 2	206	2 BDR + D	100.99 m²	1,087.02 SF			
LEVEL 2	207	2 BDR + D	98.36 m²	1,058.69 SF			
LEVEL 2	208	2 BDR + D	92.91 m²	1,000.08 SF			
LEVEL 2	209	2 BDR + D	88.14 m²	948.74 SF			
LEVEL 2	210	2 BDR	85.62 m²	921.58 SF			
LEVEL 2	211	2 BDR	80.8 m²	869.75 SF			
LEVEL 2	212	1 BDR	54.45 m²	586.14 SF			
TOTAL			1,162.89 m²	12,517.27 SF			

AREA LEGEND

LEVEL 2 - UNITS MIX			Residental Circulation
LEVEL	UNIT TYPE	COUNT	
			Residental Unit
LEVEL 2	1 BDR	1	i resideritai Offit
LEVEL 2	2 BDR	6	Decidential Commiss Chaft
LEVEL 2	2 BDR + D	5	Residential Service Shaft
TOTAL		12	Doof Dools

Roof Deck

Attachment 6 ARCHITE **P**age 31 of 35 ANKENMAN MARCHAND 1645 West 5th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5 <u>e</u>: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com

Project:	
1938	
Jeffrey Sengara	
298 ISLAND HIGHWA	A
209 Island Highway	

AREAS - LEVEL 2


Project Status:

REVISION								
No.	Date Description							

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1 : 100



LEVEL 3 - UNITS MIX					
LEVEL UNIT TYP		COUNT			
LEVEL 3	1 BDR	1			
LEVEL 3	2 BDR	6			
LEVEL 3	2 BDR + D	5			
LEVEL 3	Area	2			
TOTAL		14			

Area Area UNIT311 UNIT312 8.41 m² 10.36 m²	
1 BDR UNIT312 S4.45 m ² 2 BDR UNIT311 80.80 m ² BALCONY UNIT 5.76 m ² 5	2BDR + D UNIT303 90.44 m² 91.75 m²
2 BDR UNIT301 85.57 m² 2 BDR UNIT309 87.90 m² 2 BDR UNIT308 92.91 m² BALCONY UNIT300 18.15 m² BALCONY UNIT309 6.26 m² BALCONY UNIT309 6.26 m²	2BDR + D
	ANEA LEGEND

Balcony

Residental Circulation

Residential Service

Residental Unit

			Α	R	С	Н	I	Т	E	Pa	gê :	32	of :
	Vancouver, BC V6J 1N5	1645 West 5th Avenue										ANKENMA	
	Email: office@AMArchitects.com	Tel: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505										ANKENMAN MAKCHAND	
-	Proj		.+-										_

Project.
1938
Jeffrey S

Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY

298 Island Highway

AREAS - LEVEL 3

Project Status: **RZ**

SUBMISSION 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

REVISION

No. Date Description

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale: 1 : 100

LEVEL 4 - AREAS

1 BDR + D

2 BDR

2 BDR

1 BDR

1 BDR

LEVEL UNIT#

LEVEL 4

LEVEL 4 401

LEVEL 4 402 LEVEL 4 403

LEVEL 4 404

LEVEL 4 405

LEVEL 4 406 LEVEL 4 407

LEVEL 4 408

LEVEL 4 409

LEVEL 4 410

LEVEL 4 411

LEVEL 4 412

UNIT TYPE AREA (m2) AREA (SF)

90.44 m²

77.84 m²

64.04 m²

48.32 m²

98.36 m²

92.91 m²

88.14 m²

85.62 m²

80.8 m²

54.45 m²

1096.73 m² 11805.15 SF

101.17 m²

1111.76 SF

973.49 SF

837.81 SF

520.07 SF

1058.69 SF

1000.08 SF

948.73 SF

921.64 SF

869.75 SF

586.14 SF

1089 SF



1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND 5th Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway AREAS - LEVEL 4 Project Status: **RZ** SUBMISSION 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning REVISION No. Date Description All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work.
Contractors are responsible to ensure Contractors are responsible to ensum: that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

Scale:

1:100

DWG. NO:

A840

Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**gê 3<mark>3 o</mark>f 35



Balcony

Residental Circulation

Residental Unit

Residential Service

Roof Deck

LEVEL 4 2 BDR LEVEL 4 2 BDR + D

LEVEL 4 - UNITS MIX

LEVEL UNIT TYPE COUNT

LEVEL 4 1 BDR + D

LEVEL 5 - AREAS UNIT TYPE

RES. CORRIDOR

2 BDR

1 BDR

1 BDR

1 BDR

2 BDR

1 BDR

1 BDR

2 BDR

1 BDR + D

1 BDR + D

2 BDR + D

AREA (m2) AREA (SF)

1217.89 SF

799.87 SF

630.86 SF

630.86 SF

649.75 SF

899.57 SF

670.81 SF

670.81 SF

782.61 SF

756.72 SF

799.64 SF

890.52 SF

9399.91 SF

113.15 m²

74.31 m²

58.61 m²

58.61 m²

60.36 m²

83.57 m²

62.32 m²

62.32 m²

72.71 m²

70.3 m²

74.29 m²

82.73 m²

873.28 m²

LEVEL UNIT#

LEVEL 5 501

LEVEL 5 502

LEVEL 5 503

LEVEL 5 504

LEVEL 5 505

LEVEL 5 506

LEVEL 5 507

LEVEL 5 508

LEVEL 5 509

LEVEL 5 510 LEVEL 5 511

TOTAL



	VEL 5 - UNITS	
LEVEL	UNIT TYPE	COUNT
LEVEL 5	1 BDR	5
LEVEL 5	1 BDR + D	2
LEVEL 5	2 BDR	3
LEVEL 5	2 BDR + D	1
TOTAL		11

AREA LEGEND

Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**ge 3<mark>4 o</mark>f 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5 Tel: Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway AREAS - LEVEL 5 Project Status: **RZ** SUBMISSION 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning

REVISION No. Date Description


All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work.
Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.





LEVEL 6 - AREAS



ROOF DECK ? 36.34 m²

	ROOF DECK UNIT601 25.35 m ²	ROOF DECK UNIT602 27.39 m²	
2 BDR UNITED T	2 BDR UNITEO! 71.85 m² CORRIDOR 2 85.63 m²	2 BDR + D UNITF002 63.52 m²	AMENITY 7 26.52 m² ROOF DECK 158.76 m²
1 BDR UNIT606 56.45 m² 1 BDR UNIT605 46.78 m²	1 BDR + D 3 UNITION 108 1 BDR + D 3 UNITION 108 ROOF DECK UNITION 19 UNITIO	ROOF DECK UNIT603 50.17 m²	EXIT STAR 12.81 m²
		AREA LEGEND	
		Amenity	
		Residental Circulation	on
		Residental Unit Residential Service	
		Roof Deck	

LEVEL 6 - UNITS MIX						
LEVEL	UNIT TYPE	COUNT				
LEVEL 6	1 BDR	2				
LEVEL 6	1 BDR + D	1				
LEVEL 6	2 BDR	2				
LEVEL 6	2 BDR + D	1				
LEVEL 6	3 BDR	1				

Attachment 6 ARCHITE **Pa**īgê 3<mark>5 o</mark>f 35 1645 West Vancouver, ANKENMAN MARCHAND t 5th Avenue r, BC V6J 1N5 <u>e</u>: (604) 872-2595Fax: (604) 872-2505 Email: office@AMArchitects.com Project: 1938 Jeffrey Sengara 298 ISLAND HIGHWAY 298 Island Highway AREAS - LEVEL 6 Project Status: **RZ** SUBMISSION Description 2020-06-17 Issued for Rezoning REVISION No. Date Description


ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
п	

All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the requirements of the appropriate Building Code Authority.

© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.







A Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) Report

For

MIXED USE, COMMERCIAL & RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

View Royal, British Columbia

Prepared for

Jeffery Sengara

July 9, 2020





ADDOZ ENGINEERING INC

Clients are Our First Priority

125 9 Avenue SE, Suite 2000, Calgary, AB T2G 0P6 Office: (587) 315-3610 Fax: (587) 315-3604 Web: www.addozeng.ca

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODU	CTION	4
1.1	General	4
1.2	Planned Development	4
1.3	Purpose of Study	4
1.4	Methodologies	6
2.0 EXISTING	CONDITIONS	
2.1	Area Road Network	
2.2	Historical Roadway Traffic Volumes	
2.3	Existing Traffic Volumes and Conditions	
2.4	Existing Heavy Vehicle Composition	
2.5	Planned Roadway Improvements	13
3.0 PROJECT	ED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	14
3.1	Trip Generation for Known Background Developments	
3.2	Historical Traffic Growth Rate	
3.3	Total 2023 and 2033 Background Traffic	
3.4	Site Generated Trips	
3.4.1	Pass-by Trips	
3.4.2	Internal Trips	
3.5	Trip Distribution	
3.6	Trip Assignment	
3.6.1	Opening 2023 Volumes (Project Built-Out)	
3.6.2	Future 2033 Volumes	
4 0 FVALUAT	ION AND RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS	25
4.1	Level of Service Criteria for Intersections	
4.2	Capacity and Level of Service Analyses	
4.2.1	Existing 2020 Unmitigated and Mitigated Conditions	
4.2.2	Background 2023 and 2033 Unmitigated and Mitigated Conditions	
4.2.3	Opening Year 2023 Traffic Conditions	
4.2.4	Future 2033 Traffic Conditions	
4.3	Sightline and Sight Distance Review	
٦.5	Organismo data Organi Diotarioo Noview	
5.0 CONCLUS	SIONS AND RECOMMENDEDATIONS	32

Appendices:

Appendix A: Project Development Site Plan

Appendix B: Existing 2020 Intersection Turning Movement Traffic Counts

Appendix C: Synchro - HCM 6th Edition Capacity Analysis Reports

List of Figures

Figure 1a	Site Location Map	5
Figure 1b	Local Context Aerial Map	5
Figure 1c	Existing Lane Configuration and Control Types	8
Figure 2	Observed 2020 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	
Figure 3	Existing 2020 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	12
Figure 4	Background 2023 Growth Only Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	15
Figure 5	Background 2033 Growth Only Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	16
Figure 6	Total Background 2023 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	17
Figure 7	Total Background 2033 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	18
Figure 8	Direction of Approach and Site Access Distribution	21
Figure 9	Site Generated Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	22
Figure 10	Opening 2023 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	23
Figure 11	Future 2033 Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	24
Figure 12	Proposed Background 2033 Intersection Improvements	25
List of Tables		
Table 1: Traffic	Volume History along Helmcken Road	7
	Volume History along Helmcken Roadcal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	
Table 2: Histori		11
Table 2: Histori Table 3: Histori	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	11 11
Table 2: Histori Table 3: Histori Table 4: Adjace	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	11 11 13
Table 2: Histori Table 3: Histori Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip G	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	11 11 13
Table 2: Histori Table 3: Histori Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip G Table 6: Projec	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	11 13 19
Table 2: Histori Table 3: Histori Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip G Table 6: Projec Table 7: Level o	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	11 13 19 19 25
Table 2: Histori Table 3: Histori Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip G Table 6: Projec Table 7: Level of Table 8: Level of	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	111 113 119 119 225 225
Table 2: Histori Table 3: Histori Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip G Table 6: Projec Table 7: Level of Table 8: Level of Table 9: Capac	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	111 113 119 119 225 225 226
Table 2: Historic Table 3: Historic Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip Gable 6: Project Table 7: Level Gable 8: Level Gable 9: Capace Table 10: Capace Table 10: Capace Table 10: Capace Table 3: Historic Table 10: Capace Table 10: Ca	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	111 113 119 125 225 226 27
Table 2: Historic Table 3: Historic Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip Garable 6: Project Table 7: Level Garable 8: Level Garable 9: Capace Table 10: Capace Table 11: Capace Table 1	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	111 113 119 125 225 227 27
Table 2: Historic Table 3: Historic Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip Garable 6: Project Table 7: Level Garable 8: Level Garable 9: Capace Table 10: Capace Table 11: Capace Table 12: Capace Table 1	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors	111 113 119 119 25 25 26 27 27 28
Table 2: Historic Table 3: Historic Table 4: Adjace Table 5: Trip Garable 6: Project Table 7: Level Garable 8: Level Garable 9: Capace Table 10: Capace Table 11: Capace Table 12: Capace Table 13: Capace Table 1	cal 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors cal 168 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors ent Highway Heavy Vehicle Composition (in%) eneration Rates – ITE 10 th Edition ITE Manual ted Site-Generated Peak-Hour Volume of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections ity Analysis for Existing 2020 Traffic Conditions city Analysis for Existing 2020 Mitigated Traffic Conditions city Analysis for Background 2023 Traffic Conditions city Analysis for Background 2033 Traffic Conditions	111 113 19 25 25 27 27 27 28 28

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Jeffery Sengara retained Addoz Engineering Inc. to prepare a traffic impact assessment (TIA) in support of the proposed Mixed Use, Commercial & Residential Development, View Royal, British Columbia. The proposed project will be built on a 19,945 Sq. Ft. lot. The development will be located on the northeastern quadrant of Island Highway and Helmcken Road intersection. This traffic impact assessment is being prepared to assess potential transportation impacts of the proposed development and to satisfy the Town of View Royal requirements for such a study as a result of the proposed development.

Figure 1a presents a site map that shows the general location of the proposed development, and **Figure 1b** presents a local context aerial map.


1.2 Planned Development

The proposed development will be a 6 storey high building with two underground parking levels. Access to the development will be provided via two access points, one access point on Helmcken Road that would lead to the two parking levels and a second access point on Island Highway that would lead to the commercial parking area. The development will have a total of 59 residential units and 6,336 Sq. Ft. of retail space on the first floor. The proposed site plan is attached in **Appendix A** of this report.

1.3 Purpose of Study

The primary purposes of this traffic impact assessment study are:

- To evaluate the traffic operations and levels of service (LOS) at the following intersections (please refer to Figure 1):
 - o Island Highway and Helmcken Road intersection (Signalized);
 - o First Site Access and Helmcken Road intersection; and
 - Second Site Access and Island Highway intersection.
- To evaluate any potential project traffic impacts of the proposed development to the surrounding roadway network, and to determine if the roadways, site accesses and traffic circulations in the project vicinities would be suitable for the intended development and the amount of development traffic volumes anticipated.
- To identify suitable intersection control and geometric configurations that would be required to properly service the proposed development.
- Also, to identify needed short-term and long-term roadway improvements in the areas to enable acceptable traffic operations that would satisfy View Royal's requirements.



1.4 Methodologies

This traffic impact assessment utilizes the following evaluation methodologies:

- Data collection including but not limited to existing roadway and intersection geometric characteristic, pavement markings, traffic control types, and intersection turning movement traffic counts.
- The forecast of background peak hour traffic volumes without the site traffic for the 2023 (Opening) and 2033 (Opening + 10 year) horizons.
- Trip generation estimate for the proposed development based on appropriate *Trip* Generation land use categories and corresponding trip generation rates by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).
- Distribution of the site generated trips to/from the development site based on population, land uses, roadway network, and existing traffic patterns in the project vicinities.
- Assignment of the project trips to the adjacent roadways based on the proposed project site plan and the estimated roadway trip distribution characteristics.
- Existing, background, Opening and future traffic capacity analysis for the study area
 intersections and roadways to identify possible capacity constraints and to assess
 overall traffic impacts of the proposed development, which is based on the latest
 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodologies by the Transportation Research
 Board, the US National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine.

2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

2.1 Area Road Network

There are two roadways providing access to the site as described below. These roadways are Island Highway and Helmcken Road. A brief description of each of these roadways follows.

Island Highway is a two-lane two-way undivided highway that runs in the southeast / northwest directions in the vicinity of the proposed development. Island Highway is a major highway within View Royal with raised median sections east of View Royal Avenue. The speed limit of Island Highway in the vicinity of the proposed development is posted at 50 Km/hr. Bicycle lanes exist on the two sides of Island Highway west of Helmcken Road. However, bicycle lane exist only on the westbound direction east of Helmcken Road.

Helmcken Road is a two-lane two-way undivided roadway that runs in the north / south directions in the vicinity of the proposed development site and intersects with Island Highway. This road connects the areas south of Island Highway to provincial Highway 1 and the areas to the north of Highway 1. The speed limit on Helmcken Road is posted at 40 Km / hr. to the north of Island Highway and posted at 30 Km/hr. to the south of Island Highway.

The intersection of Island Highway and Helmcken Road is a four-leg signalized intersection with exclusive left-turn only lanes on all approaches. The detailed lane configuration for each approach along with the available storage lengths for the right-only and left-only lanes are presented on **Figure 1c**. The westbound right-turn only lane is channelized with a Yield traffic control sign.


2.2 Historical Roadway Traffic Volumes

The Town of View Royal was contacted to provide their recent counts available for the study roadways. The available recent counts were performed in 2017 at two locations along Helmcken Road. The AM and PM northbound (NB) and southbound (SB) peak hour volumes are presented in **Table 1** below.

Table 1: Historical Traffic Volumes along Helmcken Road

Land Use	-	lelmcken Roa 2017 at 225 He		Helmcken Road Observed 2017 at 168 Helmcken F			
	NB	SB	Total	NB	SB	Total	
AM Peak Hour	238	239	477	258	313	571	
PM Peak Hour	249	202	451	260	317	577	

Note that the 225 Helmcken Road data was collected from 5/26/2017 9:31:19 AM through 6/5/2017 9:56:21 AM and the 168 Helmcken Road data was collected from 6/23/2017 11:01:12 AM through 7/5/2017 2:21:42 PM. The 225 Helmcken Road is closer to study intersection.



2.3 Existing Traffic Volumes and Conditions

A field reconnaissance of the site and its surroundings was conducted to establish a database of the existing conditions. The peak period for the proposed residential and commercial Development would typically occur during the weekday morning and the late afternoon periods.

Turning movement traffic count data was collected on Wednesday June 3, 2020 from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and from 3:00 PM to 6:00 PM for the a.m. and p.m. peak periods respectively at the following study intersection:

Island Highway and Helmcken Road Intersection.

The observed AM and PM peak-hour traffic volumes for the above intersection are illustrated on **Figure 2**. The AM and PM peak hours of traffic occurred from 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and from 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM, respectively. Details of the collected traffic count data for the study intersection are contained in **Appendix B**.

Due to the current COVID-19 conditions the observed traffic would not properly represent the typical volumes in 2020 regular condition. Therefore, Addoz Engineering Inc. utilized the Town of View Royal's 2017 traffic count data collected along Helmcken Road in order to determine the appropriate factors to estimate 2020 volumes. The historical 2017 traffic counts presented under section 2.2 were utilized.

The process utilized for the estimation is described below:

- 1. Since the available counts were performed in 2017 an appropriate annual traffic growth factor should be used to determine what these volumes would become in 2020.
- 2. An annual traffic growth factor of 1.4 % has been utilized that was applied on 2017 data for 3 years to estimate 2020 volumes. Note that this factor has been determine after reviewing Town of View Royal's Transportation Master Plan (TMP) completed by BUNT & ASSOCIATES that utilized a 1.5% annual growth factor.
- 3. The following tables were prepared:
 - a. Table 2 presents the traffic volumes related to the 225 Helmcken Road Data.
 - b. **Table 3** presents the traffic volumes related to the 168 Helmcken Road Data.
- 4. These tables present four groups, the first shows the 2017 observed volumes, the second shows the 2020 grown volumes after applying 1.4% growth per year for 3 years, the third presents observed 2020 Helmcken Road volumes collected as part of this TIA, and the last column presented the calculated growth factor.
- 5. The Growing factors were calculated by dividing the Estimated 2020 Volumes / Observed 2020 Volumes for each peak hour and for each count location.
- 6. Since the 225 Helmcken Road location is closer to this TIA study intersection, these factors should be used. However, to be conservative the two locations' factors were averaged and the resulted values were utilized.




Table 2: Historical 225 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors

Peak Hour	Helmcken Road Observed 2017 at 225 Helmcken Rd			Estima (with 1	Estimated 2020 (Est.20) Obse			mcken F served 2 (Obs.20	(Est.20 / Obs.20) Rate for Growing	
	NB	SB	Total	NB	SB	Total	NB	SB	Total	Observed
AM	238	239	477	248	249	497	197	207	404	1.23
PM	249	202	451	259	211	470	227	216	443	1.06

Table 3: Historical 168 Helmcken Road & Observed Counts to Determine Growth Factors


Peak Hour	Helmcken Road Observed 2017 at 168 Helmcken Rd			Estima (with 1	Sstimated 2020 (Est.20) Observed 2020 Observed 2020 (with 1.4% Annual Growth (Obs.20) Rate		Estimated 2020 (Estimated 1.4% Annual Gro		(Est.20 / Obs.20) Rate for Growing		
	NB	SB	Total	NB	SB	Total	NB	SB	Total	Observed	
AM	258	313	571	269	326	595	197	207	404	1.47	
PM	260	317	577	271	330	601	227	216	443	1.36	

Based on the above table results and discussion, the combined Growth Factors, which are the averages of the factors at the two Helmcken Road Count Locations, are presented below:

AM Average Growth Factor = 1.35

PM Average Growth Factor = 1.21

The above two factors were applied on **Figure 2**, the 2020 study intersection observed peak hour traffic volumes, to determine the estimated 2020 Existing peak hour traffic volumes, which are presented on **Figure 3**.



2.4 Existing Heavy Vehicle Composition

The AM peak hour and PM peak hour heavy vehicle compositions were determined from the intersection turning movement traffic count performed at the study intersection and are presented in **Table 4**. Note that the sum of Single Unit Trucks and the Tractor Trailer Unit were considered to represent heavy vehicle traffic and their percentages are presented in the below table.

Table 4: Adjacent Highway Heavy Vehicle Composition (in %)
--

		2020 Traffi	c Count Data		
Description	Island I	Highway	Helmo	ken Road	
Description	West of Helmcken Road	East of Helmcken Road	North of Island Highway	South of Island Highway	
AM Peak Hour	1%	1%	0%	2%	
PM Peak Hour	0%	1%	2%	2%	

A review of **Table 2** indicates that Island Highway as well as Helmcken Road carries low amounts of heavy vehicle traffic. Based on the above results, the capacity analysis for the study intersection utilized the 2020 observed heavy vehicle percentages as noted in Table 4. Noting that for the approaches where the observed percentage was less than 2% a heavy vehicle percentage of **2%** was utilized in the capacity analysis software for that approach.

2.5 Planned Roadway Improvements

Town of View Royal was contacted to find out if there are any plans for any roadway improvements within the study area in the near future. The Town provided Addoz Engineering Inc. with their plan for improvements of the Island Highway starting from Helmcken Road to the east. Here is a description of the planned improvements compared to existing conditions for this roadway section:

- 1. A raised median island separating EB and WB movements will be constructed.
- Bicycle lane will be installed on the south side of Island Highway for EB direction.
- Pedestrian sidewalks would continue to exist on both sides of Island Highway but there will be some modifications and portions of the sidewalks will have buffers between the paved roads and sidewalks.
- 4. Intersection lane configuration will not change.

3.0 PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES

3.1 Trip Generation for Known Background Developments


Background traffic takes into account additional traffic on the roadway systems that will be generated by approved developments in the area that may be completed by the time of the site build-out. The current project is projected to be built-out within the coming 3 years. Based on Addoz Engineering Inc.'s discussions with the Town of View Royal staff, there are no approved developments in the vicinity of the project site that are being developed. Therefore, known background development traffic has not been considered for this TIA.


3.2 Historical Traffic Growth Rate


To account for inherited growth in traffic and those traffic generated by other unknown developments that may occur at the build-out of the proposed project, a traffic growth factor was applied to the existing traffic volumes to forecast the future traffic conditions. A 1.4% annual growth rate was used to estimate traffic growth for the 3-year opening horizon as well as the 10-year after opening horizon, which was applied to the 2020 existing traffic volumes to derive the 2023 and 2033 background growth traffic volumes for future development impact analyses. Note that the 1.4 annual growth factor has been determine after reviewing Town of View Royal's Transportation Master Plan (TMP) completed by BUNT & ASSOCIATES that utilized a 1.5% annual growth factor. The background growth only peak hour traffic volumes for the 2023 and 2033 scenarios are presented on **Figure 4 and Figure 5**, respectively.


3.3 Total 2023 and 2033 Background Traffic

The background growth due to unknown developments presented on **Figure 4** and **Figure 5** were added to the existing 2020 peak hour traffic volumes shown on **Figure 3**, creating the 2023 and 2033 total background traffic scenarios as illustrated on **Figure 6 and Figure 7**, respectively.









3.4 Site Generated Trips

To estimate the number of vehicle trips expected to be generated by a development, trip generation rates are applied based on the proposed land uses and intensity. The number of trips that would be generated by a proposed development would be estimated based on the rates published in *Trip Generation Manual*, 10th *Edition* by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The trip generation rates along with the proposed land uses and the corresponding ITE land use codes are presented in **Table 5**. The proposed development's generated trips are presented in **Table 6**. The Pass-by and internal capture trip generation are discussed in the following subsections.

Table 5: Trip Generation Rates – ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition

Land Use	ITE	Unit	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour			
	Code		in	out	total	in	out	total	
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st-Floor Commercial	231	Number of Dwelling Units	28%	72%	0.30	70%	30%	0.36	

Table 6: Projected Site-Generated Peak-Hour Traffic Volumes

Land Use		Density (# of Units)	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	ITE Code		In	out	total	in	out	total
6 Storey building with 1st-Floor Commercial	231	59	5	13	18	15	7	22

3.4.1 Pass-by Trips

Pass-by trips are not new trips, but they are the trips that are attracted from the traffic passing the site on adjacent roadways. While pass-by trips are new trips at the access points to the site, they are not new trips on the adjacent roadway systems. Since the proposed development will include residential and commercial components pass-by trips may be expected for such development. However, to be conservative in this analysis, no reduction for pass-by trips considered.

3.4.2 Internal Trips

An internal trip is a trip that has both its origin and destination within a multi-use development area under investigation, which should be deducted from the total number of trips departing and entering the study site. The appropriate internal trip reduction rates are based on the

characteristics of the mixed land uses. Since the proposed development will include residential and commercial components internal trips would be expected for such development. However, since the land use category, ITE #231 was utilized, which is as follows: "Mid-rise residential with 1st-floor commercial are mixed-use multifamily housing buildings that have between three and 10 levels (floors) and include retail space on the first level." Therefore, internal trip generations have already been accounted for, and therefore, no additional reduction for internal trips required.

3.5 Trip Distribution

The directions from which vehicles will approach and depart a site is a function of several variables, including the population and employment distribution within the development's area of influence, the operational characteristics of the road system, and the ease with which drivers can travel over various sections of the roadway network without encountering congestion. The directional distribution of new project trips by the proposed Mixed Use, Commercial & Residential Development was estimated based on the consideration of all the pertinent factors above including existing traffic patterns. The resulting directional distributions are as follows:

- 38% of site generated trips will travel to and from the west on Island Highway;
- 46% of site generated trips will travel to and from the east on Island Highway;
- 13% of site generated trips will travel to and from the north on Helmcken Road; and
- 03% of site generated trips will travel to and from the south on Helmcken Road.

The resulting final direction of approach and site access distribution is illustrated on Figure 8.

3.6 Trip Assignment


3.6.1 Opening 2023 Volumes (Project Built-Out)


The projected peak-hour traffic volumes for the proposed Residential and Commercial development were assigned to the adjacent roadways based on the estimated directional distribution as shown on **Figure 8**. The resulted site generated AM peak hour and PM peak hour trips are illustrated on **Figure 9**.


The development site-generated trips shown on **Figure 9** were then added to the 2023 total background peak-hour traffic volumes shown on **Figure 6** to arrive at the Opening 2023 peak-hour traffic volumes, which are illustrated on **Figure 10**.


3.6.2 Future 2033 Volumes

The development site-generated trips shown on **Figure 9** were added to the 2033 total background peak-hour traffic volumes shown on **Figure 7** to arrive at the Ultimate Future 2033 peak-hour traffic volumes, which are illustrated on **Figure 11**.









4.0 EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 Level of Service Criteria for Intersections

The intersection identified for the study was analyzed according to the methodologies presented in the **2016 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 6**th **Edition)**. The analysis determines the "Level of Service (LOS)" of signalized and unsignalized intersections considering the factors including but not limited to number and types of lanes, traffic volumes, heavy vehicle composition, peak hour factors, pedestrian activities, etc. Levels of service are expressed in a range from "A" through "F," with "A" being the highest level of service, and "F" representing the lowest level of service. **Table 7** shows the thresholds for Levels of Service "A" through "F" for unsignalized intersections and, **Table 8** presents the LOS criteria for signalized intersections.

Table 7: Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections *

Level of Service	Delay/Vehicle (seconds)	Description
А	≤ 10.0	Little or no delay, very low main street traffic.
В	10.1 to 15.0	Short traffic delays, many acceptable gaps.
С	15.1 to 25.0	Average traffic delays, frequent gaps still occur.
D	25.1 to 35.0	Long traffic delays, limited number of acceptable gaps.
Е	35.1 to 50.0	Very long traffic delays, very small number of acceptable gaps.
F	> 50.0	Extreme traffic delays, virtually no acceptable gaps in traffic.

^{*} Note: Capacity analysis for two-way stop-controlled intersection provides the LOS for the critical movements, not of the overall intersection.

Table 8: Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

Level of Service	Delay/Vehicle (seconds)	Description
А	≤ 10.0	Most vehicles do not stop at all.
В	10.1 to 20.0	Some vehicles stop.
С	20.1 to 35.0	The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many passes through without stopping.
D	35.1 to 55.0	Many vehicles stop. Individual cycle failures are noticeable.
Е	55.1 to 80.0	Considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. Individual cycle failures are frequent.
F	> 80.0	Unacceptable delay.

4.2 Capacity and Level of Service Analyses

Capacity and level of service analyses were conducted for the following conditions:

- Existing 2020 Conditions;
- Existing 2020 Mitigated Conditions;
- Background 2023 Conditions (without site traffic);
- Background 2033 Conditions (without site traffic);
- Background 2033 Mitigated Conditions (without site traffic);
- Opening 2023 Conditions (with site traffic); and
- Future 2033 Conditions (with site traffic).

The software package Synchro 10 was utilized for the capacity analyses of all study intersections and site accesses. The Synchro software utilizes *Highway Capacity Manual (6th Edition)* methodologies for the evaluations.

Note that the observed heavy vehicle percentages and peak hour factors (PHF) were utilized in the capacity analysis of study intersection.

4.2.1 Existing 2020 Unmitigated and Mitigated Conditions

Existing 2020 capacity and level of service analysis results for the study intersection are presented in **Table 9**. These results were taken from the HCM 6th Edition Un-Signalized Intersection Capacity Analyses Reports produced by Synchro software. The detailed capacity and LOS analyses reports for all analyses scenarios are contained in **Appendix C** of this report.

Table 9: Capacity Analysis for Existing 2019 Traffic C	c Conditions
--	--------------

Intersection	App.	V/C ^(a) Ratio	AM Pea 95 th % Queue (m)	ak Hour Delay "Sec"	LOS	V/C ^(a) Ratio	PM Pea 95th % Queue (m)	k Hour Delay "Sec"	LOS
Island	Overall	-	•	19.1	В	-	-	47.2	D
Highway and Helmcken Road (Signalized)	EB	0.73	122.7	16.5	В	0.59	132.4	12.9	В
	WB	0.73	127.2	21.1	С	1.07	430.4	69.9	Е
	NB	0.22	12.9	28.0	С	0.25	15.5	53.9	D
	SB	0.51	40.1	20.1	С	0.70	75.3	47.9	D

A review of **Table 9** indicates that the study intersection is currently operating at acceptable levels of service during the AM peak hour. However, during the PM peak hour the westbound is operating at capacity conditions with the volume to capacity ratio (V/C) exceeding 1.0. Therefore, mitigation is needed under existing traffic conditions.

The proposed mitigation is to the optimization of signal cycle length and splits. The AM and PM peak hour Synchro files were optimized utilizing Synchro's optimization tool and the optimized capacity analyses results are presented in **Table 10**.

Table 10: Capacity Analysis for Existing 2019 Mitigated Traffic Conditions (Optimized)

		AM Peak Hour V/C ^(a) 95 th % Delay				PM Peak Hour V/C ^(a) 95th % Delay			
Intersection	App.	Ratio	Queue (m)	"Sec"	LOS	Ratio	Queue (m)	"Sec"	LOS
Island	Overall	-	-	16.8	В	-	-	36.3	D
Highway and Helmcken Road (Signalized)	EB	0.67	95.1	12.7	В	0.55	126.5	11.5	В
	WB	0.66	88.2	16.6	В	0.99	441.5	43.8	D
	NB	0.22	11.9	26.8	С	0.28	18.6	62.0	Е
	SB	0.64	53.0	25.1	С	0.84	101.1	69.5	Е

A review of **Table 10** indicates that the overall intersection operation improved significantly during the PM peak hour as the overall intersection average delays reduced by 23%. Therefore, no further mitigation is needed under existing conditions.

4.2.2 Background 2023 and 2033 Unmitigated and Mitigated Conditions

LOS and capacity analyses results for the **background 2023** traffic conditions, without site development generated traffic and with the existing cycle length and split optimization, for the study intersection are presented in **Table 11**.

Table 11: Capacity Analysis for Background 2023 Traffic Conditions (with Optimization)

Intersection	Арр.	V/C ^(a) Ratio	AM Pea 95 th % Queue (m)	ak Hour Delay "Sec"	LOS	V/C ^(a) Ratio	PM Pea 95th % Queue (m)	k Hour Delay "Sec"	LOS
Island	Overall	-	-	17.6	В	-	-	42.9	D
Highway and Helmcken Road (Signalized)	EB	0.70	102.7	13.5	В	0.58	136.8	11.9	В
	WB	0.69	103.9	17.4	В	1.03	472.7	55.2	Е
	NB	0.23	12.6	26.8	С	0.29	18.8	62.1	Е
	SB	0.67	57.2	26.2	С	0.88	108.2	74.6	E

A review of **Table 11** indicates that intersection operation would deteriorate with the growth of traffic but may still be considered acceptable. Therefore, no mitigation is being considered background 2023 conditions.

LOS and capacity analyses results for the **background 2033** traffic conditions, without site development generated traffic and with existing cycle length and split optimization, for the study intersection are presented in **Table 12**.

Table 12: Capacity Analysis for Background 2033 Traffic Conditions (with Optimization)

		AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
Intersection	Арр.	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95 th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	Los	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	Los
Island	Overall	-	-	21.1	С	-	-	72.6	Е
Highway and Helmcken Road (Signalized)	EB	0.79	148.3	16.6	В	0.66	176.7	13.5	В
	WB	0.79	127.2	21.7	С	1.17	576.3	106.9	F
	NB	0.25	13.6	26.8	С	0.33	21.1	62.6	E
	SB	0.76	70.7	30.4	С	1.01	131.4	99.5	F

A review of **Table 12** indicates that intersection operation would deteriorate significantly by 2033 with the increased traffic volumes; also, WB approach would operate at LOS "F". Therefore, mitigation measures must be considered under the background 2023 conditions.

Suggested Mitigations for the Background 2033 Conditions:

- 1. Convert the WB left-turn only lane to a shared through/left lane
- 2. Convert the currently EB left-turn only lane to become a receiving through WB lane
- 3. Convert the currently EB Through only lane to become an EB Left-turn only lane
- 4. Convert the currently EB right-turn only lane to become a shared through/right lane
- 5. Construct a receiving downstream EB through lane to receive traffic from the now shared through/right lane

An illustration diagram of the above suggested improvements is presented on **Figure 12**. The capacity analyses results for the Background 2033 mitigated traffic conditions are presented in Table 13.

Table 13: Capacity Analysis for Background 2033 Mitigated Traffic Conditions

				ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Intersection	App.	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95 th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	LOS	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	LOS
Island	Overall	•	•	20.3	С	-	•	25.5	С
Highway and Helmcken Road (Signalized)	EB	0.78	158.3	18.0	В	0.83	206.5	19.7	В
	WB	0.43	54.4	16.0	В	0.86	172.2	27.6	В
	NB	0.31	17.0	36.3	D	0.21	14.2	35.9	D
	SB	0.68	66.4	29.9	С	0.67	64.8	31.3	С





Figure 12: Illustration of the Proposed Intersection Improvements Needed Under Background 2033 Traffic Conditions

A review of **Table 13** indicates that the study intersection of Island Highway and Helmcken Road would improve significantly with the suggested intersection improvements and would operate at acceptable levels of service. Therefore, no additional mitigation would be needed under background 2033 conditions.

4.2.3 Opening Year 2023 Traffic Conditions

LOS and capacity analysis results for the Opening 2023, Built-Out traffic conditions with site development traffic, for the major study intersection and proposed site access intersections are presented in **Table 14**.

Table 14: Capacity Analysis for Opening 2023 Conditions (With Existing Optimization)

				ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Intersection	App.	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95 th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	LOS	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	Los
Island	Overall	•	•	17.8	В	-	-	43.7	Е
Highway	EB	0.70	102.7	13.5	В	0.58	136.8	12.2	В
and Helmcken	WB	0.70	105.5	17.7	В	1.03	473.9	56.3	Е
Road	NB	0.23	12.6	26.8	С	0.30	18.8	62.6	Е
(Signalized)	SB	0.68	59.4	26.6	С	0.89	111.0	76.5	E
Access A1	EB	-	-	0.0	Α	0.014	0.0	29.4	D
and Island	WB	-	-	0.0	Α	-	-	0.0	Α
Highway	SB	0.011	0.0	14.1	В	-	-	0.0	Α
Access A2 and	WB	0.019	0.7	12.1	В	0.01	0.0	11.9	В
	NB	-	-	0.0	Α	-	-	0.0	Α
Helmcken Road	SB	0.001	0.7	0.0	Α	0.002	0.0	0.1	Α

A review of **Table 14** indicates that the study intersection of Island Highway and Helmcken Road would continue to operate at levels of service comparable to those observed under Background 2023 conditions without site traffic. No mitigation is being considered under Opening 2023 scenario.

A second review of **Table 14** with concentration on the site access intersection operations indicate that the two proposed site access intersections would operate at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours of traffic. Therefore, no mitigations would be needed at these new intersections. Note that Addoz Engineering Inc. is assuming right-in/right-out for site Access A1 on Island Highway as a raised median will be constructed on Island Highway. However, for Access A2 on Helmcken Road, all movements are considered allowed.

4.2.4 Future 2033 Traffic Conditions

LOS and capacity analysis results for the future 2033 traffic conditions, with site development traffic and the recommended background 2033 improvements, are presented in Table 15 for the major study intersection and the proposed site access intersections.

Table 15: Capacity Analysis for Future 2033 Conditions (With Background Mitigation)

				ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Intersection	App.	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95 th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	LOS	V/C ^(a) Ratio	95th % Queue (m)	Delay "Sec"	LOS
Island	Overall	-	-	20.4	С	-	•	25.8	С
Highway	EB	0.78	158.3	18.0	В	0.83	206.5	19.7	В
and Helmcken	WB	0.43	54.8	16.0	В	0.86	172.6	27.9	С
Road	NB	0.31	17.0	36.3	D	0.22	14.2	35.9	D
(Signalized)	SB	0.69	69.2	30.3	С	0.69	67.1	31.7	С
Access A1	EB	-	-	0.0	Α	-	-	0.0	Α
and Island	WB	-	-	0.0	Α	-	-	0.0	Α
Highway	SB	0012	0.0	15.4	С	0.019	0.70	36.9	Е
Access A2	WB	0.021	0.70	12.9	В	0.011	0.0	12.5	В
and	NB	-	-	0.0	Α	-	-	0.0	Α
Helmcken Road	SB	0.001	0.0	0.0	Α	0.002	0.0	0.1	Α

A review of Table 15 indicates that all study intersections would operate at acceptable levels of service under this scenario. Therefore, no further mitigation would be need under the future 2033 traffic conditions.

4.3 Sightline and Sight Distance Review

Island Highway eastbound and westbound approaches to/from the proposed Site Access A1 were reviewed in the field to make sure no obstructions exist. The review covered approximately more than 175 metres to the east and more than 300 metres to the west of the site access intersection. The available sight distance east of Site Access A1 to/from the access point is 140 metres. Also, the available sight distance west of Site Access A1 is 240 metres. It should be noted that the minimum sight distance required to be available as per 2017 TAC standards for site access intersections is 125 metres.

Helmcken Road northbound and southbound approaches to/from the proposed Site Access A2 were reviewed in the field to make sure no obstructions exist. The available sight distance to the north of Site Access A2 is approximately 85 metres. Also, the available sight distance south of Site Access A2 is 165 metres. The minimum sight distance required to be available as per 2017 TAC standards for site accesses on this type of road is 83.5 metres.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDEDATIONS

This study analyzed the traffic impacts of the proposed Mixed Use, Commercial & Residential Development to be located in View Royal, British Columbia. The proposed project will be built on a 19,945 Sq. Ft. lot. The development will be located on the northeastern quadrant of Island Highway and Helmcken Road intersection. The estimated number of total site generated trips entering and exiting the development would be 18 trips during the AM peak hour and 22 trips during the PM peak hour. The following conclusions have been reached by this traffic impact assessment study:

- LOS and capacity analyses indicated that under the Existing 2020 traffic conditions, the study intersection of Island Highway and Helmcken Road located is currently operating at acceptable level of service during the AM peak hour and unacceptable level of service during the PM peak hour. Therefore, mitigations at this intersection are needed under existing 2020 conditions.
- The suggested mitigation is the optimization of signal cycle length and splits. The AM and PM peak hour Synchro files were optimized utilizing Synchro's optimization tool and the optimized capacity analyses results for existing mitigated conditions indicated acceptable operations.
- Background 2023 without the Project Site Traffic LOS analyses indicated that the study intersection would continue to operate at acceptable levels of service but with increased delays as a result of traffic growth. Traffic operation still considered acceptable and hence no mitigations would be needed under background 2023 conditions.
- Background 2033 without the Project Site Traffic LOS analyses indicated that the study intersection would deteriorate significantly and WB approach would operate at LOS "F". Therefore, mitigations to this intersection must be considered under this scenario.
- The proposed intersection improvements to mitigate the unacceptable operations are listed below (refer to **Figure 12** for graphical illustration):
 - 1. Convert the WB left-turn only lane to become a shared through/left lane
 - 2. Convert the currently EB left-turn only lane to become a receiving through lane for the new WB shared through/left lane
 - 3. Convert the currently EB Through only lane to become an EB Left-turn only lane
 - 4. Convert the currently EB right-turn only lane to become a shared through/right lane
 - Construct a receiving downstream EB through lane to receive traffic from the now shared through/right lane

- Background 2033 Mitigated conditions without the Project Site Traffic LOS analyses indicated that the study intersection would improve significantly and all approaches would operate at acceptable levels of service with the proposed improvements. Therefore, no additional mitigation would be needed.
- Future 2033 with Full Project Site Traffic LOS analyses indicated that the study intersection would continue to operate at acceptable levels of service with background 2033 mitigations. Also, all site access intersections would operate acceptable. Therefore, no additional mitigations would be needed under future 2033 conditions.
- As part of this TIA, a sightline and sight distance review was completed for the proposed access points on Island Highway and Helmcken Road. The results of the review indicated that the available sight distances to/from both site access points meet TAC requirements. Therefore, no sightline issues would be expected.

In summary, this traffic impact assessment concludes that the proposed Mixed Use, Commercial & Residential Development will have some impact on the traffic operations of the future road network. However, this impact will be alleviated by implementing the improvements recommended above.

Yours truly,

ADDOZ ENGINEERING INC

Prepared by:

Emad Alsaidi, PhD, PEng, PE Direct Phone: (587) 703-5222 Or

(587) 703-9321

E-mail: ealsaidi@addozeng.ca

Web: www.addozeng.ca

Appendix A

Project Development Site Plan

Appendix B

Observed 2020 Intersection Turning Movement Traffic Counts

Appendix C

Synchro - HCM 6th Edition Capacity Analysis Reports

LEGAL SURVEYS
MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING
LAND DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT



August 27, 2020

File No. 32668

Jeffrey Sengara Glentanna Developments Via Email

Re:

Proposed Development at 298 Island Highway Water, Storm and Sanitary Servicing Review

Further to the Town of View Royals request, JE Anderson and Associates (JEA) provides the following analysis of the existing water, storm and sanitary infrastructures capacity to support the proposed development at the above-noted address.

Water

The proposed development is located within the CRD Regional District Juan de Fuca water service area (CRD). The key CRD servicing requirement for new developments is the ability to provide the FUS fire flow to the site.

JEA estimates the FUS fire flow for the proposed building to be 167 L/s. The CRD provided hydrant curves for the two closest fire hydrants indicate a fire flow in excess of 900 L/s is available. Copies of JEA's calculations and the CRD information are enclosed in Appendix A.

It is JEA's opinion that the existing water system can service the proposed development and no further investigation is required at this time.

Storm Drainage

The Town of View Royal's Engineering specifications indicate that stormwater management is required to reduce the 5-year post-development flow to the pre-development rate.

The proposed development increases the impervious percentage of the site from 81% to 87%. It is JEA's opinion that onsite stormwater management will be required in order to meet the Town's stormwater management requirements.

JEA has also reviewed the Town of View Royal's Master Drainage Plan (Aplin Martin – Master Drainage Plan Update 2017 – Final Draft – August 18, 2017). The report indicates that an imperviousness of 85% was used in the model and there is no indication of capacity issues for the downstream drainage system. Copies of JEA's calculations and the relevant sections of the Master Drainage plan are enclosed in Appendix B.

It is JEA's opinion that with the implementation of the onsite stormwater management features, the existing drainage system can service the proposed development and no further investigation is required at this time.

JEA# 32668 Page | **2**

Sanitary

JEA has also reviewed the Town of View Royal's Sewer Master Plan (McElhanney – View Royal Sanitary Master Plan – Working Draft – December 16, 2017). The report indicates that an FSR of 1.2 - 1.5 was applied to the site. JEA cursory calculations indicate that the proposed development will increase the Peak Wet Weather Flow (PWWF) by approximately 0.7 L/s over what was likely used in the sewer model.

Reviewing the Sewer Master Plan, it appears that the downstream pipes should have adequate capacity for the additional flow as the Sewer Master Plan indicates that the downstream pipes will flow with less than 50% depth for the Future Population with a 100 year storm. With respect to the sewage pump station, the sewer master plan indicates that pumpstation there should be capacity for the increased flow under the Q100 scenario; However, there is relatively little additional pumpstation capacity under the Q100 scenario.

Please note that JEA's calculations are relatively rudimentary in relation to the Town of View Royal's sewer model. It is JEA opinion that our calculations should be on the conservative side (estimating a higher flow due to the high peaking factor for such a small site), the Town may wish to consider updating the sewer model to confirm.

It is JEA's opinion that the existing sanitary system is capable of servicing the proposed development and however some additional investigation may be required to confirm the sewage pumpstation capacity.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please feel free to contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Yours truly,

J.E. Anderson and Associates





JEA# 32668

Appendix A

- FUS Calculations
- CRD Information





PRO	OJECT 289 Folgonol. Date August 21/2020
CLI	ENT Glentanna File 32668
1.	Type of Construction: Coefficient (C) based on type of construction = 1.2. Total Floor Area: ft² 4, 886 m²
	Fire Flow From Formula (F = 220 C A ^{0.5}): $\frac{8/453}{\text{L/min. (a)}} = \frac{15}{453}$
2.	Type of Occupancy: Hazard Allowance: A A A A A A A A A
3	Automatic Sprinklers: Sprinkler Allowance: Xe S
4.	Exposures: 1. North 2. South 3. East 4. West 2. West 3. East 4. West 4. West 5. Exposure ⁽²⁾ 6. Exposure ⁽²⁾ 7. Defect 6. Exposure ⁽²⁾ 7. Defect 6. Exposure ⁽²⁾ 7. Defect 8. Exposure ⁽²⁾ 9. Defect 1. North 1. Defect 1.
	Exposure Allowance (75% Maximum): 27 x (b) = 3,645 L/min. (d)
	TOTAL FIRE FLOW REQUIRED: (rounded*) 10,355 L/min. (b-c+d)
	TOTAL FIRE FLOW REQUIRED: 167. L/s

R. TUCK

12020.

Engineer's Seal

Construction Coefficient

C≈1.5, Wood Frame

C=1.0, Ordinary (brick or other masonary walls, combustible floor and interior)

C=0.8, Non-Combustible (unprotected metal structural components, masonry or metal walls)

C=0.5, Fire-Resistive (fully protected frame, floors, roof)

Floor Area includes all storeys, excluding basements at least 50% below grade

	(1) Separation	(2) Max. Charge
Hazard Allowance	0 to 3 m	25%
-25% dwellings, apartments	3.1 to 10 m	20%
-20% hospitals, elem, schools	10.1 to 20 m	15%
-15% high schools	20.1 to 30 m	10%
(*) Values to nearest. Uminute	30.1 to 45 m	5%

298 ISLAND HIGHWAY, VICTORIA, B.C.

MIXED-USE - COMMERCIAL / RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Issued for Rezoning - June 17, 2020

STATISTICS:

Legal Description: LOT 1, SECTION 8, ESQUIMALT DISTRICT,

Civic Address: 298 Island Highway, Victoria, BC

Zoning: Current: C-1 (Community Commercial

Survey Information: Based on survey information by Glen Mitchell Land Surveying Inc. Victoria, B.C. Dated: January 13th, 2020

Proposed: CD (Comprehensive Devolpment - Mixed Use)

DEVELOPMENT DATA SUMMARY:

Site Area: 1,853.00 m2 (19,945.53 SF)

Permitted FSR:

1,482.40 m2 (15,956.42 SF)

Proposed Gross FSR: Proposed per CD = 3.0

5,559.00 m2 (59,836.58 SF)

926.50 m2

1,391.00 m2

Permitted Site Coverage: Per C-1 = 50%

Proposed Site Coverage: Proposed per CD = 75%

HEIGHT:

Permitted Height:

Proposed Height: Proposed per CD

20.23 m (6 Storeys)

SETBACKS:

Required per C-1: Front lot line

min. 7.5m min. 7.5m min. 6m min. 3m Rear lot line Side lot line Flanking lot line

Proposed per CD: Front lot line Rear lot line Side lot line

varies 3,12m to 3.95m varies 3.12m to 4.33m Flanking lot line

		0.00	m2	m2	m2	m2	m2	m2	
		A	9	С	D = B+C+E	E	F	H = D.E.F	Ģ
CO	RESIDENTIAL MMERCIAL - RETAIL	1	559.31	80.34 46.66	126.72 654.49	46.38 46.52	0.00	80.34 605.97	0.04
LEVEL 2	RESIDENTIAL	12	1,051.53	111.36	1,164.58	1.69	0.00	1,162.89	0.63
LEVEL 3	RESIDENTIAL	12	1,051.54	111.36	1,164.59	1.69	0.00	1,162.90	0.63
LEVEL 4	RESIDENTIAL	12	985.37	111.36	1.098.42	1,69	0.00	1,096.73	0.59
LEVEL 5	RESIDENTIAL	11	760.14	113.15	874.98	1.69	0.00	873.29	0.47
LEVEL 6	RESIDENTIAL	7	477,60	98.44	604.25	28.21	0.00	576.04	0.31
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL		54	4,326.18	626.01	5,033.54	81.35	0.00	4,952.19	2.67
TOTAL COMMERCIAL		1	559.31	46.66	654.49		0.00	605.97	0.33
TOTAL		55	4,885.49	672.67	5,688.03	81.35	0.00	5,556.16	3.00

PARKING:

PARKING REQUIRED PER 5.10 (TABLE 5.2):

FSR CALCULATION

Studio or One Bedroom 1 stall per dweling unit: Two Bedroom 1.5 stall per dweling unit: Three Bedroom or more 2 stalls per dweling unit:

Total Residential number of stalls required: 74 stalls

1 unit x 2 stalls =

16 units x 1 slatll = 16 stalls 37 units x 1.5 stall = 56 stalls

559.3 m2 / 20 m2 = 28 stalls

Commercial:

Retail store: 1 per 20m2 of floor area

Total Commercial number of stalls required: 28 stalls

TOTAL NUMBER OF STALLS REQUIRED:

PARKING PROPOSED:

Residential

Standard parking stalls:

26 49 2 stalls stalls stalls Disability parking stalls

Total Residential parking proposed: 77 stalls

Standard parking stalls:

stalls stalls stall 18 13 Small parking stalls: Disability parking stalls:

Total Commercial number of stalls proposed: 32 stalls

Standard stalls total: 44 (40%) (30% allowed) Small stalls total: 62 (57%) TOTAL NUMBER OF STALLS PROPOSED: 109 S Seeking relaxation on Standard v.s. Small stalls ratio. 109 STALLS

BICYCLE PARKING:

REQUIRED PER 5.11 (TABLE 5.4):

Retail store: 1 per 250m2 of floor area

Class 1 (50%) = 2 stalls Class 2 (50 %) = 1 stalls

1 stall per dweling unit (Class 1): 6-space rack per entrance (Class 2):

54 units x 1 slatll six space rack

654.49 m2 / 250 m2

0.00

72.34

79.50

18.15

6.21%

7.24%

2.07%

0.00% 3.38%

LOADING SPACES REQUIRED PER 5.10 (TABLE 5.3):

Commercial:

LOADING:

Retail store: One for up to 500m2 floor ara; Two for 500m2 to 2,500 m2 floor area:

Commercial floor area = 656 m2 Total Commercial number of stalls required: 2 stalls

Total Commercial number of stalls proposed: 1 stall

PROPOSED:

Classs 1 Horisontal stalls stalls Classs 1 Vertical stalls: Class 2 Rack:

Total Residential stalls proposed: 73 stalls

Classs 1 Horisontal stalls:

Total Commercial number of stalls proposed: 8 stalls TOTAL NUMBER OF STALLS PROPOSED:

Seeking relaxation on 1 loading stall.

LEVEL 2 201	LEVEL	UNIT#	UNIT TYPE	AREA (m2)	AREA (SF)
LEVEL 2 202	LEVEL 2	T	RES. CIRCULATION	111.36 m²	1,198.7 SF
LEVEL 2 203	LEVEL 2	201	2 BDR + D	103.29 m²	1,111.76 SF
LEVEL 2 204	LEVEL 2	202	2 BDR	90.44 m²	973.49 SF
LEVEL 2 205	LEVEL 2	203	2 BDR	90.44 m²	973.49 SF
LEVEL 2 206	LEVEL 2	204	2 BDR	91.75 m²	987.6 SF
LEVEL 2		205	2 BDR	74.34 m²	800.23 SF
LEVEL 2 208	LEVEL 2	206	2 BDR + D	100.99 m²	1,087.02 SF
LEVEL 2	LEVEL 2	207	2 BDR + D		1,058.69 SF
LEVEL 2 210	-				1,000.08 SF
LEVEL 2					948.74 SF
LEVEL 2 212				85.62 m²	921.58 SF
LEVEL 2					869.75 SF
LEVEL 3	LEVEL 2	212	1 BDR		586.14 SF
LEVEL 3	LEVEL 2				12,517.27 SF
LEVEL 3 302	LEVEL 3		RES. CIRCULATION	111.36 m²	1,198.7 SF
LEVEL 3 303	LEVEL 3	301	2 BDR + D	103.29 m²	1,111.76 SF
LEVEL 3 304 2 BDR	LEVEL 3	302	2 BDR + D	90.44 m²	973.49 SF
LEVEL 3 305	LEVEL 3	303	2 BDR + D	90.44 m²	973,49 SF
LEVEL 3 306	LEVEL 3	304	2 BDR	91.75 m²	987.6 SF
LEVEL 3 307	LEVEL 3	305	2 BDR	74.34 m²	800.23 SF
LEVEL 3 308	LEVEL 3	306	2 BDR + D	100.99 m²	1,087.02 SF
LEVEL 3 309		307	2 BDR + D	98.36 m²	1,058.69 SF
LEVEL 3 310	LEVEL 3		2 BDR	92.91 m²	1,000.08 SF
LEVEL 3 311		309		87.9 m²	946.14 SF
LEVEL 3 312	LEVEL 3			85.87 m²	924.26 SF
LEVEL 3				80.8 m³	869.75 SF
LEVEL 4		312	1 BDR		586.14 SF
LEVEL 4				1,162.9 m²	12,517.35 SF
LEVEL 4			RES. CIRCULATION		1,198.7 SF
LEVEL 4			The second secon		1,111.76 SF
LEVEL 4 404 1 8DR 64.04 m³ 689.26 LEVEL 4 405 1 8DR 48.32 m³ 520.07 LEVEL 4 406 2 8DR + D 101.17 m³ 1.086.69 LEVEL 4 407 2 8DR + D 98.36 m³ 1.056.69 LEVEL 4 408 2 8DR + D 92.91 m³ 1.000.06 LEVEL 4 409 2 8DR + D 88.14 m³ 948.73 LEVEL 4 410 2 8DR 85.62 m³ 921.64 LEVEL 4 411 2 8DR 85.62 m³ 586.14 LEVEL 4 412 1 8DR 54.45 m³ 586.14 LEVEL 4 412 1 8DR 54.45 m³ 586.14 LEVEL 4 412 1 8DR 74.31 m³ 799.87 LEVEL 5 501 2 8DR 74.31 m³ 799.87 LEVEL 5 502 1 8DR 58.61 m³ 630.86 LEVEL 5 503 1 8DR 58.61 m³ 630.86 LEVEL 5 504 1 8DR 58.61 m³ 630.86 LEVEL 5 505 2 8DR 83.57 m³ 899.57 LEVEL 5 506 1 8DR 60.36 m³ 649.75 LEVEL 5 506 1 8DR 62.32 m³ 670.81 LEVEL 5 508 1 8DR 74.31 m³ 799.87 LEVEL 5 508 1 8DR 62.32 m³ 670.81 LEVEL 5 509 1 8DR 74.27 m³ 789.64 LEVEL 5 509 1 8DR 74.29 m³ 789.64 LEVEL 5 500 1 8DR 74.29 m³ 789.64 LEVEL 5 600 2 8DR 71.65 m³ 773.41 LEVEL 6 601 2 8DR 71.65 m³ 773.41 LEVEL 6 605 1 8DR 60.46 m³ 650.85 LEVEL 6 606 1 8DR 60.46 m³ 600.46 m³ 650.85 LEVEL 6 606 1 8DR 60.46 m³ 600.46 m³					973.49 SF
LEVEL 4					837.81 SF
LEVEL 4					A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
LEVEL 4 407 2 BDR + D 98.36 m² 1,058.69 s LEVEL 4 408 2 BDR + D 92.91 m³ 1,000.08 s LEVEL 4 409 2 BDR + D 88.14 m³ 948.73 s LEVEL 4 410 2 BDR 85.62 m³ 921.64 s LEVEL 4 411 2 BDR 60.8 m³ 869.75 s LEVEL 4 412 1 BDR 54.45 m³ 586.14 s LEVEL 5 RES. CORRIDOR 113.15 m³ 12.17.89 s LEVEL 5 S01 2 BDR 74.31 m³ 799.67 s LEVEL 5 502 1 BDR 58.61 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 503 1 BDR 58.61 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 504 1 BDR 60.36 m³ 649.75 s LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 60.36 m³ 649.75 s LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m³ 899.57 s LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR					
LEVEL 4 408 2 BDR + D 92.91 m³ 1,000.06 s LEVEL 4 409 2 BDR + D 88.14 m³ 948.73 s LEVEL 4 410 2 BDR 85.62 m³ 921.64 s LEVEL 4 411 2 BDR 80.8 m³ 98.75 s LEVEL 4 412 1 BDR 54.45 m³ 586.14 s LEVEL 4 11.906.73 m² 11.805.15 s 11.805.15 s LEVEL 5 RES. CORRIDOR 113.15 m³ 1.217.89 s LEVEL 5 501 2 BDR 74.31 m² 799.87 s LEVEL 5 502 1 BDR 58.61 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 503 1 BDR 58.61 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 504 1 BDR 58.61 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m³ 899.57 s LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 62.32 m³ 670.81 s LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR 62.32 m³ 670.81 s LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR +D 7					
LEVEL 4 409					
LEVEL 4	The second second second second				
LEVEL 4		120.70120			
LEVEL 4	-				
LEVEL 4			and the country of th		
LEVEL 5		1412	TIBUK	1,096.73	12 04
LEVEL 5 501 2 BDR 74.31 m² 799.87 S LEVEL 5 502 1 BDR 58.61 m² 630.86 S LEVEL 5 503 1 BDR 58.61 m² 630.86 S LEVEL 5 504 1 BDR 60.36 m² 649.75 S LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m² 899.57 S LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 S LEVEL 5 507 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 S LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m² 782.61 S LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m² 75.72 S LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m² 799.64 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.57 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR P D 82.73 m² 799.94 S LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR P D 82.73 m² 890.57 S LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 73.26 m² 93.99.91 S LEVEL 6	A COLONIA DE LA COLONIA DE				11,805,15 SF
LEVEL 5 502 1 BDR 58.61 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 503 1 BDR 58.61 m³ 630.86 s LEVEL 5 504 1 BDR 60.36 m³ 649.75 s LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m³ 899.57 s LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 62.32 m³ 670.81 s LEVEL 5 507 1 BDR 62.32 m³ 670.81 s LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m³ 782.61 s LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m³ 786.72 s LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m³ 799.64 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m³ 890.52 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 85.63 m³ 93.99.91 s LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m³ 93.99.91 s LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 s LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m³ 683.69 s LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR	LEVEL 5		RES. CORRIDOR		1,217.89 SF
LEVEL 5 503 1 BDR 58.61 m² 630.86 s LEVEL 5 504 1 BDR 60.36 m² 649.75 s LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m² 899.57 s LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 s LEVEL 5 507 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 s LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m² 782.61 s LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m² 756.72 s LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m² 799.64 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.52 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 85.63 m² 9,399.91 s LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 s LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 s LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 63.52 m² 65.68 m² 1,171.94 s LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 s 65.08 s <	LEVEL 5	501	2 BDR	74.31 m ²	799.87 SF
LEVEL 5 504 1 BDR 60.36 m² 649.75 S LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m² 899.57 S LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 S LEVEL 5 507 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 S LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m³ 782.61 S LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m³ 756.72 S LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m² 799.64 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.52 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 85.63 m² 921.75 S LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 S LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 E LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.69 S LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 S LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.85 S LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR <td></td> <td>502</td> <td>1 BDR</td> <td>58.61 m²</td> <td>630.86 SF</td>		502	1 BDR	58.61 m²	630.86 SF
LEVEL 5 505 2 BDR 83.57 m² 899.57 s LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 s LEVEL 5 507 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 s LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m² 782.61 s LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m² 756.72 s LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m² 799.64 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.52 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 85.63 m² 93.99.91 s LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 s LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 s LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.62 m² LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 s LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.85 s LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 s LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR<			1 BDR		630.86 SF
LEVEL 5 506 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 s LEVEL 5 507 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 s LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m² 782.61 s LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m² 782.61 s LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m² 799.64 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.52 s LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 85.63 m² 9,399.91 s LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 93.99.91 s LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 s LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.69 s² LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 s LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.85 s LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 s LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 s LEVEL 6 607 2 BD					649.75 SF
LEVEL 5 507 1 BDR 62.32 m² 670.81 S LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m³ 782.61 S LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m³ 756.72 S LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m³ 799.64 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m³ 890.52 S LEVEL 5 673.28 m³ 9,399.91 S 2 EVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m³ 921.75 S LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m³ 773.41 S 12 EVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m³ 683.69 S 12 EVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m³ 1,171.94 S 12 EVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m³ 650.8 S 12 EVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m³ 503.57 S 12 EVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m³ 607.65 S 12 BDR 69.66 m³ 74.98 S 12 EVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m³ 74.98 S 12 EVEL 6 607 2 BDR 696.66 m³ 74.98 S 12 EVEL 6 607					899.57 SF
LEVEL 5 508 1 BDR + D 72.71 m³ 782.61 S LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m³ 756.72 S LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m³ 799.64 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m³ 890.52 S LEVEL 5 673.28 m³ 9,399.91 S 9,399.91 S LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 S LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m³ 773.41 S LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m³ 683.69 S LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m³ 1,171.94 S LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m³ 650.8 S LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m³ 503.57 S LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m³ 607.66 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 563.23 m³ 6,062.6 S	LEVEL 5				670.81 SF
LEVEL 5 509 1 BDR + D 70.3 m² 756.72 S LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m² 799.64 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.52 S LEVEL 5 873.26 m² 9.399.91 S 9.399.91 S LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 S LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 S LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.69 S LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 S LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.8 S LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 S LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.62 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 74.98 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 74.98 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 74.98 S					670.81 SF
LEVEL 5 510 2 BDR 74.29 m² 799.64 S LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.52 S LEVEL 5 673.28 m² 93.99.91 S 93.99.91 S LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 S LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 S LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.69 S LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 S LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.85 S LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 S LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 74.96 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 74.96 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 74.96 S					782.61 SF
LEVEL 5 511 2 BDR + D 82.73 m² 890.52 s LEVEL 5 873.28 m² 9,399.91 s LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 s LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 77.34 s LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 663.69 s LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 s LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.8 s LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 s LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 m² 749.8 s LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 s LEVEL 6 563.23 m² 6,062.6 s					756.72 SF
LEVEL 5 873.28 m² 9,399.91 s LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 s LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 921.75 s LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.69 s LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 s LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.8 s LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 s LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 s LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 s LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 563.23 m² 6,062.6 s					799.64 SF
LEVEL 6 CORRIDOR 85.63 m² 921.75 s LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 s LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.69 s LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 s LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.8 s LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 s LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 s LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 s LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 563.23 m² 6,062.6 s	-	511	2 BDR + D		890.52 SF
LEVEL 6 601 2 BDR 71.85 m² 773.41 S LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m² 683.69 S LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 S LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.8 S LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 S LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.68 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 74.98 S LEVEL 6 607 69.62 m² 69.62 m²		_	1		9,399.91 SF
LEVEL 6 602 2 BDR + D 63.52 m³ 683.69 S LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m³ 1,171.94 S LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m³ 650.85 LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m³ 503.75 LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m³ 607.66 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m³ 749.8 S LEVEL 6 563.23 m³ 6,062.6 S					921.75 SF
LEVEL 6 603 3 BDR 108.88 m² 1,171.94 S LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.8 S LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 S LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 S LEVEL 6 563.23 m² 6,062.6 S					773.41 SF
LEVEL 6 604 1 BDR + D 60.46 m² 650.8 S LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 S LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 S LEVEL 6 563.23 m² 6,062.6 S					683.69 SF
LEVEL 6 605 1 BDR 46.78 m² 503.57 S LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 S LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 S LEVEL 6 563.23 m² 6,062.6 S					1,171.94 SF
LEVEL 6 606 1 BDR 56.45 m² 607.66 s LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 s LEVEL 6 563.23 m² 6,062.6 s					650.8 SF
LEVEL 6 607 2 BDR 69.66 m² 749.8 \$ LEVEL 6 563.23 m³ 6,062.6 \$		-4			503.57 SF
LEVEL 6 563.23 m ² 6,062.6 S					607.66 SF
		607	2 BDR		749.8 SF
	LEVEL 6			563.23 m²	6,062.6 SF


RESIDENTIAL AREA BREAKDOWN

UNIT MIX:

TOTAL

UNIT TYPE	COUNT
1 BDR	12
1 BDR + D	4
2 BDR	20
2 BDR + D	17
3 BDR	1
RESIDENTIAL UNITS TOTAL	54

COMMERCIAL UNITS BE	REAKDOWN
UNIT TYPE	COUNT
COMMERCIAL	1
COMMERCIAL UNITS TOTAL	1



Attachment 9

A002


© Copyright Ankenman Marchand Architects. All rights reserved.

All Drawings in this set to be read in All Drawings in this set to be read in conjunction with each other. Any errors or discrepancies to be reported to the Architect before commencing work. Contractors are responsible to ensure that all work is executed to the



Map Title

25.2



Attachment 9 Page 6 of 20 Legend

Facility or Station

Disinfection Facility

Injection

Pump Station

Storage Facility

Bulk Meter

Distribution Balancing Meter

Supply Bulk Meter M

Control Valve

Altitude Valve Check Valve

Pressure Control Station

Hydrant

Bulk Water Station

Hydrant

Standpipe

System Valve

Air Release, True

Flush, True

Lateral Valve, False; Valve, False

Lateral Valve, True; Valve, True

Valve Vault, False

. Valve Vault, True

Fitting

Cap

Reducer


Notes

NAD_1983_UTM_Zone_10N © Capital Regional District


50.4

Important: This map is for general information purposes only. The Capital Regional District (CRD) makes no representations or warranties regarding the accuracy or completeness of this map or the suitability of the map for any purpose. This map is not for navigation The CRD will not be liable for any damage, loss or injury resulting from the use of the map or information on the map and the map may be changed by the CRD at any time.

Hydrant Curve for VRFD077 on Island Hwy



Hydrant Curve for VRFD190 at Island Hwy and Helmcken Rd




JEA# 32668

Appendix B

• Relevant Master Storm Drain Information






PRE DEVELOPMENT:

SITE AREA: 1,853 m²


PERVIOUS AREA: 345 m2 (18.6%)



POST DEVELOPMENT:

SITE AREA: 1,853 m²

PERVIOUS AREA: 240 m2 (13%)



3.1.2 Land Use Plans and Imperviousness Values


Percent of imperviousness value were determined for each catchment based on land uses in the catchment to properly simulate the corresponding land coverage. For the existing condition, the Town's zoning map and latest orthophotos were referenced to develop the existing land-use map. For the future condition, the land use designations illustrated in the Town's Official Community Plan (OCP) were adopted. The existing and future land-use maps for the study area can referenced in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

The imperviousness for each catchment was determined by reviewing its land use composition and typical values assigned for various land use types. Typical imperviousness values were developed from a review of the Town's zoning bylaw and airphotos showing land coverage composition for various land uses. For catchments with multiple land use designations, the imperviousness values were calculated by pro-rating the area fraction of each land use within the catchment. **Table 3** shows the typical imperviousness values assigned for various land use types.

Table 3 - Typical Imperviousness Values

Land Use Designations	Imperviousness
Commercial (C)	85%
Intensive Mixed Use (IMU)	80%
Mixed Residential (M-R)	80%
Neighbourhood Mixed Use (NMU)	80%
Community Facility (CF)	75%
Road	70%
Residential (R)	60%
Large Lot Residential (R-L)	25%
Rural (RU)	10%
Park, Open Space, Recreation (P)	10%

Based on the values in **Table 3**, the Town has an overall imperviousness of 39.7% under the existing land use condition and 41.7% under the future land use condition. A list of the modelled catchments and their basic parameters can be referenced in **Appendix B**.



JEA# 32668

Appendix C

- Sanitary Calculations
- Relevant Sewer Master Plan Information





DATE August 24/2020
PREPARED BY PT

PROJECT No. 3266 8
Page No. 1 of 2

298 Folgnol.

Sewage Calculations.

Proposed Building.

assumptions

. 300 L/c/d (MMCD)

· 1.8 cap/us.t (CRO - tor High clensity).

· 5 L/m2 for retail/commercial (VIHA)

· Rest as per MMCD design buidelines.

Given

, 54 units.

. 555 on 2 retail/connercial.

Calc's

54 units x 1.8 cap x 300 L/cap/day = 29,160 L/day.

655 m2 x 5 L =

= 3,275 4day.

=> 0.375 4s.

Calc Peaking Factor.

Pap equivalent = 32,4354 du = 108 cgp. 300 4cq/dug



DATE	PROJECT No.
PREPARED BY	Page NoZ of

PF = 6.75P = 6.75(108) = 4.032.

PWWI=

* assume no I/I since building take whole

:. = 4.032 (0.375 4/s) = 1.572 4/s.

JEA
JEA

DATE	PROJECT No.
PREPARED BY	Page No. 3_ of

298 Island. Compare to Sower Master Plan. · Section 4.3 indicates mixed use properties used a PSR of 1.2-1.5 4) assume 248 Folgerd has PSR at 1.5 asamphens · Proposed sewage is portional to FIR/gross aran. Calculations. Proposed Gross area = 5,559 m².
Master Sewe plan = 1.5 × 1/853 = 2,779.5 m². Rebusewer Plan should have assumed approximately 1/2 the sewage How based on floo area.

in approximately 0.756 Ws more flow (1/2 PWWF)

DRAFT

View Royal Samtary Master Plan Working Draft | 16 October 2017 Prepared for Town of View Royal

or Floor Space Ratios (FSR) for these areas. A range of FSRs were provided (e.g. 1.2 - 1.5) and the lowest value was used to estimate the size of the dwelling during the model build. These future land use areas were the first to receive population changes in the model; if the population did not reach 16,900 after these changes, the remaining difference was spread out evenly throughout the Town.

After receiving this information and inputting these changes into the model, the residential population summed to 20,488. This increased value (compared to the original estimate of 16,900) may be due to the future model using the existing population density values, as these values were calculated with the 2016 Census data and may not match with future conditions. This may lead to an overestimation, for example, if an existing neighbourhood that is predominantly single-family homes with a population density of 3-4 people/dwelling changes to a multi-family property in the future where the population density most likely reduces to 1-2 people/dwelling. Although the future PC-SWMM model accounts for the increased number of dwellings, the 2016 population density is still used, which may inflate the total number of people in this location for some of the catchment areas.

4.4. Existing Conditions

4.4.1. Existing System

Refer to Figures 1 and 2, showing the Town's existing sanitary infrastructure and the existing pump station catchment areas respectively.

4.4.2. Population


Existing cadastral shape files were received from the Town. Once imported into the model, each parcel was assigned a number of dwellings for single family homes and multi-family residences, while the ICI locations calculated equivalent populations based on the lot area or other factors as described in Section 2.2.1. The number of dwellings were then multiplied by the catchment-specific population density to yield the total population for that parcel.

Refer to Figure 3 for the Town's current land use map.

4.4.3. System Age

The Town's sanitary infrastructure ranges from 5 to 46 years old, with the majority of it having been installed between 1971 and 1981. Figure 4 provides further details on the age of the Town's sanitary sewers.





Attachment 10. OCP Policy excerpt

Policy LU_{9.1} Harbour-Helmcken Community Corridor

Support the development of the Harbour-Helmcken Community Corridor along the portion of the Island Highway located between Burnett Road and Beaumount Avenue.

The Community Corridor area includes lots fronting the Island Highway and some adjoining lots on the north side of the Island Highway. Limited mixed-use intensification and public space improvement should be concentrated at the Helmcken Intersection, with residential uses occupying the other portions of the corridor.

Development in the Harbour-Helmcken Community Corridor should be carefully reviewed to consider the following:

- Mixed-use intensities appropriate for the corridor's lots sizes and adjacent residential areas.
- Commercial uses should be neighbourhood-serving and limited to properties located near the Helmcken Intersection.
- Circulation, access and parking.
- Right-of-way conditions and pedestrian safety, including connections across the Island Highway and Helmcken Road.
- Placemaking and the quality of the built environment.
- Strong and safe connections to surrounding residential areas, the waterfront, Helmcken Road, View Royal Elementary School and the planned Town Centre.
- Opportunities to consolidate and rezone lots fronting the Island Highway to higher residential densities.

The Harbour-Helmcken Community Corridor should be planned to compliment [sic] and not compete with the future Town Centre. Planning decisions in the Harbour and Helmcken neighbourhoods should recognize the community's aspiration for the development of the Town Centre and ensure that land use planning in other areas does not inhibit eventual development of the Fort Victoria site. Development outside the Community Corridor shall be discouraged.